	General Requirements							
Page #	Line #	New Line Numbers	Old	Question				
21	101	GR 01	GR 01	Is a written Leafy Greens Compliance Plan which specifically addresses the Best Practices of the LGMA available for review?				
21	102	GR 02	はないり	Does it specifically address best practices for water, soil amendments and crop inputs, environmental factors, work practices, and field sanitation?				
21	104	GR 03	GR 03	Is an up to date producers list with contact and location information available for review?				
21	105	GR 04		Does the Shipper have a traceability process?				
21	105	GR 04a	GR 04a	·				
21	105	GR 04b						
21	108			Has the Shipper designated someone to implement and oversee the food safety program?				
21	108	GR 05a	GR 05a	Is the name of the individual available?				
21	108	GR 05b	GR 05b	Is 24/7 contact information for the individual available?				
				Records				
22	133	RE 01		Were all records required by the Leafy Greens Compliance Plan readily available and accessible for inspection during the audit? (e.g. Logs, Checklist, Spreadsheets, etc.)				
				Do they include (as applicable):				
21	118	RE 01a	RE 01a					
21	120	RE 01b	_	5				
21	121	RE 01c						
21	123	RE 01d		0 ( 1				
21	124	RE 01e	RE 01e	Date and time of the activity being documented				
22	126	RE 02	RE 02	Do records indicate they were created at the time the activity was performed?				
22	128	RE 03	RE 03	Were the records signed and dated by the person performing the documented activity?				
21 & 22	115 &130	RE 04	RE 04	Did the Shipper assign/designate and document the supervisor/responsible party for records review and sign off?				
				Have the following records been reviewed, signed and dated by a supervisor or responsible party:				
29	347	RE 04a	RE 04a	Water records must be reviewed and signed within a week (Records include: ag water microbiological test results, ag water assessments, water treatment monitoring records and records of corrective actions for test that do not meet the water quality criteria)				
		RE 04b	RE 04b	On-Farm Soil Amendments within a week (Records include: process control monitoring for on-farm produced soil amendments)				
23	204	RE 04c	RE 04c	Training documentation for required training must be reviewed and signed within a reasonable timeframe per SOP.				
78	910	RE 04d	RE 04d	Harvest equipment, tools, containers, packing material, buildings (if applicable packing facilities) cleaning and sanitation records in a reasonable timeframe per SOP (i.e. keep a record of the date and method of cleaning and sanitizing equipment)				
22	149	RE 05	RE 05	Do SOPs require documentation and records to be kept for 2 years?				

	Personnel Qualifications and Training						
22	163	PE 01		PE 01	Did personnel receive training at hire and at least annually thereafter?		
					Does the training provided to all personnel who work with leafy greens or supervise those who do include:		
23	174		PE 01a	PE 01a	The principles of food hygiene and safety, including recognition of employee health conditions for illness?		
82	1063		PE 01b	PE 01b	Training and education on infectious illnesses that can be asymptomatic (e.g. cyclosporiasis, hepatitis, salmonellosis, norovirus).		
23	175		PE 01c	PE 01c	The importance of health and personal hygiene?		
23	179		PE 01d	PE 01d	The standards established in these best practices that are applicable to the employee's job responsibilities?		
					Do all harvest personnel receive additional training in:		
23	183		PE 01e	PE 01e	Recognizing leafy greens that may be contaminated and therefore not be harvested? (This includes the potential of cut product to contact the ground/soil.)		
23	185		PE 01f	PE 01f	Inspecting product containers, harvest equipment, and packaging materials to ensure they are working properly and do not pose a product contamination risk?		
23	188		PE 01g	PE 01g	How to correct problems with product containers, harvest equipment, and packaging materials or report problems to supervisors?		
23	191	PE 02			Are personnel conducting environmental hazard and risk assessments trained?		
23	200	PE 03			Has a food safety professional / representative for each farm completed the Produce Safety Alliance, "Grower Training" or a standard curriculum recognized by the FDA?		
23	200		PE 03a	PE 02a	Grower		
23	200		PE 03b	PE 02b	Harvester		
23	200		PE 03c	PE 02c	Cooler/Holder		
23	203	PE 04		PE 03	Are there records of training events that include the training date, topics covered, and trainee's name?		
					Environmental Assessments		
	Assessment						
Animal Acti		E A O4		EA 04	Man a Due Consen Accomment and directed union to the first account planting?		
23	213	EA 01			Was a Pre-Season Assessment conducted prior to the first seasonal planting?		
90-92	Figure 9 & Table 6	EA 02		F 4 117	Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion or the potential risk of intrusion?		
					If EA 02 is answered "NO" then EA 03 - EA 05 will drop down.		
		EA 03			Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed by Food Safety professional?		
90-92	Figure 9	EA 04			Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?		
00 02	& Table 6	EA 05			Is there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?		
			EA 05a	EA 04a	If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?		
		EA 06			Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?		
90-92	Figure 9		EA 06a	EA 05a	If "YES" were corrective actions formulated?		
30-82	& Table 6		EA 06b	EA 05b	If "YES" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?		
			EA 06c	EA 05c	If "YES" are you periodically monitoring the effectiveness of any corrective actions?		
Adjacent ar	nd Nearby Land						
24	230	EA 07			Was a detailed risk assessment conducted for adjacent and nearby land use?		
					If present, were the risk factors for the following evaluated for risk level:		
26-27	Table 0	EA 08		EA 07	Animal operations (i.e. AFO, CAFO, Grazing Lands, and Domestic Animals/Hobby Farms)		

Pre-Season	Pre-Season Assessment							
26-27	Table 0		EA 08a	EA 07a	If "Yes" were the current Metrics met?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 08b	EA 07b	If "NO" are mitigation factors implemented and documented?			
26-27	Table 0	EA 09		EA 08	Compost / Soil Amendments Operations			
26-27	Table 0		EA 09a	EA 08a	If "Yes" were the current Metrics met?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 09b	EA 08b	If "NO" are mitigation factors implemented and documented?			
26-27	Table 0	<b>EA 10</b>		EA 09	Non-leafy greens crops - Was the distance evaluated based on risk and mitigation factors?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 10a		If "Yes" were Table 0 risk factors evaluated?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 10b	EA 09b	If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?			
26-27	Table 0	EA 11		EA 10	Water Source and Systems - Was the distance evaluated based on risk and mitigation factors?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 11a	EA 10a	Wellhead proximate to Untreated Manure?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 11b	EA 10b	If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 11c	EA 10c	Surface Water proximate to Untreated Manure?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 11d	EA 10d	If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 11e	EA 10e	Water Storage and conveyance systems proximate to conditions that pose a food safety risk?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 11f	EA 10f	If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?			
26-27	Table 0	EA 12		EA 11	Urban Settings			
26-27	Table 0		EA 12a	EA 11a	If "Yes" were the current Metrics met?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 12b	EA 11b	If "NO" are mitigation factors implemented and documented?			
26-27	Table 0	EA 13		EA 12	Other environmental considerations - Was the distance evaluated based on risk and mitigation factors?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 13a	EA 12a	Were Table 0 risk factors evaluated?			
26-27	Table 0		EA 13b	EA 12b				
				EA 13	Were there adjacent and nearby land use activities that occurred after the initial pre-season assessment that required an additional risk assessment?			
25	239-242	EA 14			Were additional risk assessments required after the initial pre-season assessment was conducted during the growing of the crop?			
24	225-228		EA 14a		Were potential environmental sources of contaminants in or near production location evaluated after a change in weather conditions or weather events?			
24	239-242		EA 14b		Were there adjacent and nearby land use that resulted in a possible higher risk situation required an additional risk assessment?			
24	239-242		EA 14c	EA 13a	If "Yes" were mitigation factors implemented and documented?			

Assessmer	nt of CAFO's			
24	244	EA 15	EA 14	Is the adjacent and nearby land area free from concentrated animal feeding operations(CAFO)?
				If EA 14 is answered "NO" then EA 15 will drop down.
24-25	246-254	EA 16		Was the adjacent and nearby land area free from concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFO) containing 1,000-80,000 animals within 1200' of the crop edge?
24-26	246-254	EA 16a	EA 15a	If "NO" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 1200' recommendation should be increased or modified?
24-27	246-254	EA 16b	EA 15b	If "NO" are mitigation measures in place and documented?
24-28	246-254	EA 16c	EA 15c	If "NO" was a rigorous pre-season assessment completed to address the impact of the CAFO?
24-29	246-254	EA 17		Was the adjacent and nearby land area free from concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFO) containing over 80,000 animals within 1 mile of the crop edge?
24-30	246-254	EA 17a		If "NO" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 1 mile recommendation should be increased or modified?
24-31	246-254	EA 17b		If "NO" are mitigation measures in place and documented?
24-32	246-254	EA 17c		If "NO" was a rigorous pre-season assessment completed to address the impact of the CAFO?
				If EA 15c or 15g is answered "NO" then EA 15 will drop down.
				Did it address the following:
24-35	246-254	EA 17d	EA 15d	Information on the CAFO's Best Management Practices?
24-36	246-254	EA 17e	EA 15e	Number of animals within the CAFO?
24-37	246-254	EA 17f	EA 15f	Water source and distribution system for the production location proximate to the CAFO? (e.g. Appendix A)
Assessmer	nt of Historical L	and use and Flo	oding	
		EA 18	EA 16	Are production blocks free from all of the following:
		EA 18a		History of flooding within the last 60 days
25	264-266	EA 18b		History of grazing on the crop land within the last year
20	201 200	EA 18c	EA 16c	History of hazardous activity including but not limited to CAFO, municipal waste, toxic waste, landfill, etc.?
				EA 16a - EA 16c if any of these are answered "NO" then EA 16c (1) will drop down
		EA 18c (1)	EA 16c (1)	Were specific actions implemented and documented to mitigate the issue(s)?
	t Assessment	E 4 40	I= 4 4 =	
24	213	EA 19	EA 17	Was a Pre-Harvest Assessment conducted within 7 days for each harvested lot?
		EA19a	EA 17a	Did the assessment address the following: Intrusion by animals
		EA19b		Flooding
		EA19c		Potential contamination materials
	Table 0,	EA19d		Condition of water source and distribution system
26-27; 90-	Table 6, Figure	EA19e		
92	9		EA 17f	Weather condition or weather events (e.g. severe wind, hail, freeze, excessive rain, or consecutive weather events) could impact the original pre-season risk assessment (See Appendix F)?
		EA19f		Environmental sources of contaminants after a change in weather conditions or weather events since the last assessment?
	239-242	EA19g	EA 17g	Did the food safety status of the a Adjacent and nearby land use remains unchanged since the pre-season assessment was conducted?

Pre-Harvest	t Assessment -	<b>Animal Intrusion</b>		
		EA 20	I E A 1X	Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion or the potential risk of intrusion?
				If EA 18 is answered "NO" then EA 18a - EA 18f will drop down.
		EA 20a	EA 18a	Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed by food safety professional or food safety personnel?
90-92	Figure 9	EA 20b	EA 18b	Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?
90-92	& Table 6	EA 20c		Is there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?
		EA 20d	EA 18c	If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?
		EA 20e	EA 18d	Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?
		EA 20f	EA 18e	If "YES" were corrective actions carried out per the LGMA requirements?
		EA 20g	EA 18f	If "YES" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?
Preharvest	Assessment - U	Jnusual or Other		
		EA 21	EA19	Did the pre-harvest ranch assessment indicate that fields were flooded during the crop cycle?
26-27;	Table 0			If EA 19 is answered "YES" then EA 19a - EA 19d will drop down
85-86	& Table 5	EA 21a	EA19a	If production blocks were flooded is there documentation to indicate the extent of the flooding and the area of the crop impacted?
00.07	T.11.0	EA 21b	EA19b	Was the product left un-harvested?
26-27;	Table 0	EA 21c	EA19c	<u>'</u>
85-86	& Table 5	EA 21d		
				Does the preharvest assessment indicate the production area was free from any other type of potential contamination?
91-92	Table 6	EA 22		(i.e. potential contamination materials, condition of water source and distribution system, unexpected adjacent land
0.02	Table 0			activity that will pose a risk to food safety, worker hygiene and sanitary facilities)?
				If EA 20 is answered "NO" then EA 20a - EA 20h will drop down
91-92	Table 6	EA 22a	EA 20a	
91-92	Table 6	EA 22b	EA 20b	
91-92	Table 6	EA 22c		Is the date of the assessment documented?
91-92	Table 6	EA 22d		
91-92	Table 6	EA 22d	EA 200	Was the field harvested?
91-92			EA 20e EA 20f	Is there documentation to show the remedial actions were followed?
91-92	Table 6	EA 22f	EA 201	
91-92	Table 6	EA 22g	_	area(s)?
91-92	Table 6	EA 22h	EA 20h	Is documentation which fully delineates the potential contamination available for review?
Assessmen	t of Produce Fi	eld		
24	225-228	EA 23		If the preharvest assessment indicates the production area had a changes in weather condition or weather events during the production period are the following addressed:
24	225-228	EA 23a	EA 21a	Potential impact on the crop or operations?
24	225-228	EA 23b	EA 21b	If the crop or operations were impacted were corrective actions carried out and documented according to Company SOP?
24	225-228	EA 23c	EA 21c	CAFO?
24	225-228	EA 23d	EA 21d	If the crop or operations were impacted by a discharge event were corrective actions carried out according to Company SOP?

Pre-Harves	st Product Testi	<u>ng</u>		
28	286	EA 24		Is there an SOP to address pre-harvest testing?
				Does your SOP include the following:
28	288	EA 24a		All lettuce and leafy green commodities. If testing programs differ by commodity, outline in the SOP
28	289	EA 24b		Sampling timeline - An interval closer to estimated harvest date is considered a best practice.
28	290	EA 24c		Target organisms - Test for E. coli O157:H7, STEC/EHEC, and Salmonella.
28	291	EA 24d		Sampling lot size (Note: Sampling lot size may decrease when risk is elevated.)
28	292	EA 24e		Sample size
28	293	EA 24f		Number of grabs (Note: More individual grabs per lot improves the probability of contamination detection.)
28	294-296	EA 24g		Sampling method
		EA 24h		Additional risk considerations that impact sampling
		EA 24i		A test and hold policy
		EA 24j		Corrective measures to be taken when positive samples are detected
		EA 24k		How testing records are kept and the review policy for these records
28	285	EA 25		Was pre-harvest testing conducted?
28	302	EA 26		Was a trained sampler used?
28	304	EA 27		If the tests were positive for E. coli O157:H7, STEC/EHEC, and Salmonella, do records show that the lot sampled was not harvested?
28	304-306	EA 27a		Was a further investigation or a root cause analysis done? (NOTE: For Informational Purposes Only)
		•		Water Use
General A	gricultural Wate	r Management		
29	330	WU 01	WU 01	Was an Agricultural Water Assessment completed prior to use for each agricultural water system?
29	331	WU 01a	WU 01a	Is an agricultural water system description (or other documentation) indicating the source(s) of water and distribution system(s) available for review?
29				For irrigation systems:
29	334	WU 01a (1)	WU 01a (1)	Does the description (or other documentation) identify permanent above ground fixtures such that they can be located in the field?
29	333	WU 01a (2)	WU 01a (2)	Does the map (or other documentation) identify the flow of the water system(s) and production blocks that may be served by the water source(s)?
29	345	WU 01a (3)	WU 01a (3)	Are effluent systems that convey untreated human or animal wastes separated from irrigation water systems?
General A	gricultural Wate	r Management		
				For All Water Systems and Uses:
30	364	WU 01b	WU 01b	Was the system, including water source, water storage and water conveyance, evaluated to determined the system type(s) (Type A or Type B)?
31 & 38	Table 1, 419- 446	WU 01c	WU 01c	Has the operation established how and when water will be suitably applied for specific uses? (e.g. irrigation

Managing	Agricultural Wat	er Storage and C	onveyance S	Systems
34	495	WU 02	WU 02	Has an SOP been created for maintenance of ancillary equipment, water storage and conveyance?
				Does the SOP include the following:
34	497	WU 02a	WU 02a	Regularly scheduled visual inspections to ensure that it is in good working order and does not pose a contamination risk to the water system?
34	500	WU 02b	WU 02b	Does the SOP include maintaining water quality by removal of debris, weeds, algae, tule, trash, and sediment within the producer's control?
34	502	WU 02c	WU 02c	Controls for pest access in place and corrective actions outlined if pest infestation occurs?
34	506	WU 02d	WU 02d	Controls identified for the prevention of run-off into water storage and conveyance systems?
34	508	WU 02e	WU 02e	Procedures to ensure standing water does not pose a contamination in place?
34	509	WU 02f	WU 02f	Management of agricultural water system components used to prepare and apply crop inputs to ensure these activities and equipment used are not a source of contamination?
34	511	WU 02g	WU 02g	Practices to ensure water used in aerial applications within the 21 days-to-scheduled harvest are Type A or B→A water systems?
34	513	WU 02g (1)	WU 02g (1)	Holding tanks and equipment mounted application tanks, manifolds, boom lines and nozzles are properly maintained and cleaned?
34	515	WU 02g (2)	WU 02g (2)	Water treatment chemistry or approach is compatible with the agricultural chemicals being applied?
34	516	WU 02h	WU 02h	Establish corrective action procedures for non-compliance scenarios (e.g. contaminated source water, animal intrusion, contaminated run-off, flooding)?
34	521	WU 03	WU 03	Were corrective measures, cleaning activities, and maintenance documented?
Overhead	Chemical Applic	ations <u>prior</u> to 2	Days of Sch	
		WU 04	WU 04	Were Overhead Chemical Applications utilized prior to 21 Days of Scheduled Harvest?
30	359	Wu 04a	Wu 04a	If " <u>yes</u> ", did the water used for the applications meet the Baseline Microbial Assessment for Type A source water quality criteria?
30	363	Wu 04b	Wu 04b	If " <u>yes</u> ", did the water used for the applications meet irrigation Type B water quality criteria as outlined in Table 2E (Routine Verification of Microbial Water Quality)?
Overhead	Chemical Applic	ations <u>within</u> 21	Days of Sche	duled Harvest
35	564	WU 05	WU 05	Has an SOP been created for all of the parts of the agricultural water system used in overhead chemical application?
				The SOP for overhead applications must address the following:
35	566	WU 05a	WU 05a	Water used within 21 days requirement to meet Type A and/or B→A water quality criteria

Overhead (	Chemical Applic	cations within 21	Davs of Sche	eduled Harvest
			l -	Holding tanks, aguinment mounted application tanks, manifolds, boom lines and nozzles are properly maintained and
35	568	WU 05b		cleaned?
35	571	WU 05c	WU 05c	7 11 1 0 11
35	573	WU 05d	WU 05d	
35-36	576-581	WU 05e	WU 05e	Corrective action procedures for non-compliance scenarios, includes treatment failure, contaminated source water, pest concerns, chemical incompatibility, equipment sanitation concerns)?
36	582	WU 06	WU 06	Was there documentation of corrective measures, including cleaning activities and maintenance?
36	583	WU 07	WU 07	Is there an SOP to address each unique application process to treat water?
				The SOP must address the following:
35	542	WU 07a	WU 07a	Use initial water treatment assessment to establish treatment parameters, monitoring to ensure consistent delivery and effectiveness (Note: You must reestablish treatment parameters if a material change to the system occurs)
36	589	WU 07b	WU 07b	Step-by- step instructions to ensure the water treatment is correctly implemented
36	590	WU 07c	WU 07c	Location of water sources
36	591	WU 07d	WU 07d	Name, and suggested supplies needed
36	592	WU 07e	WU 07e	Sanitizer used and quantity used
36	593	WU 07f	WU 07f	Critical limits and operational limits
36	594	WU 07g	WU 07g	Water sampling location
36	595	WU 07h	WU 07h	Corrective actions if critical limits are not met
36	596	WU 07i	WU 07i	Required records
36	598	WU 08	WU 08	If Type A water is used, are records available that demonstrate the water used for chemical application meets the Baseline Microbial Assessment Criteria for Type A source water quality criteria?
25	566	WU 09	WU 09	Was Type B→A water used for Overhead Chemical Applications within 21 Days of Scheduled Harvest?
36	597	WU 09a	WU 09a	Was a baseline for treated water done for each overhead application process system (before the 21 day to-scheduled-
				harvest-period begins)?
36	598	WU 09a (1)	` ′	batches.
36	602	WU 09a (2)	WU 09a (2)	Did all samples meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli?
36	604	WU 09b	WU 09b	Was a minimum of one 100 mL sample taken for <b>routine testing</b> done monthly from a representative <del>ag water system routinely treated water batch</del> or at the next application event?
36	606	WU 09b (1)	WU 09b (1)	Did all samples meet the acceptance criteria of non-detectable generic E. coli?
			, ,	WU 09b (1) answered "NO" then WU 09b (2) - WU 09b (4) will drop down for Corrective Action
36	610	WU 09b (2)	WU 09b (2)	Was your grower/producer notified?
36	609	WU 09b (3)	WU 09b (3)	Was a root cause analysis done to correct the concern?
36	611	WU 09b (4)	WU 09b (4)	If water used within 21 days exceeds the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?
1		1		Was <b>ongoing monitoring</b> of the treated water performed at each application event to verify treatment parameters
36	614 616	WU 09c	WU 09c WU 09c (1)	established during the initial set up were being followed ?

Overhead (	Chemical Applic	ations <u>within</u> 21	Days of Sche	eduled Harvest
				WU 09c (1) answered "NO" then WU 09c (2) - WU 09c (5) will drop down for Corrective Action
36	618	WU 09c (2)	WU 09c (2)	Was a corrective action performed to ensure the water treatment was effective before using the water?
36	620	WU 09c (3)	WU 09c (3)	Was a microbiological sample taken to verify the treatment was effective and was documented for the corrective action?
37	622	WU 09c (4)	WU 09c (4)	If the microbiological sample did not meet the acceptance criteria of non-detectable generic E. coli was root cause analysis preformed to correct the treatment process? (Note: It is suggested that the grower/producer is notified)
37	623	, ,	WU 09c (5)	for STEC, including E coil O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to narvest?
				and after 21 Days to scheduled harvest)
38	Table 2A	WU 10	WU 10	Was a source water test conducted, for each source of water, within 60 days of first use?
				Note: Reclaimed water sample results and analysis provided by the water district or provider may be utilized as records of water source testing for verification and validation audits.
38	Table 2A	WU 10a	WU 10a	Are records available to demonstrate that water samples have been collected on a monthly basis, or at the next irrigation event if greater than monthly?
38	Table 2A	WU 10b	WU 10b	Do records show that the water samples are taken no less than 18 hours apart?
38	Table 2A	WU 10c	WU 10c	Is the geometric mean less than or equal to 126 MPN/100 mL?
38,55	Table 2A &Table 2E	WU 10d	WU 10d	Are all individual samples less than or equal to 235MPN/100 mL for overhead application/irrigation 21 days prior to scheduled harvest or 576 MPN/100m ml for any type of water application, except overhead?
				WU 10c or WU10d answered "NO" then WU 10d (1) - WU 10d (8) will drop down
39	Figure 1	WU 10d (1)	WU 10d (1)	Was the water distribution system use discontinued after the tests indicated the water source failed to meet the minimum water quality requirements?
38	Table 2A	WU 10d (2)	WU 10d (2)	Was an <b>agricultural water assessment</b> completed on the water source and distribution system for possible contamination?
39	Figure 1	WU 10d (3)	WU 10d (3)	Do records show that corrective actions were taken to eliminate the contamination sources?
		WU 10d (4)	WU 10d (4)	Was the system retested <del>five samples (taken no less than 18 hours apart)</del> at the previous sampling point?
		WU 10d (5)	WU 10d (5)	Did the five samples meet the acceptance criteria - average less than 126 MPN/100 mL (based on rolling geometric mean=5) and all individual samples less than or equal to 235MPN/100 mL for overhead application/irrigation 21 days prior to scheduled harvest or 576 MPN/100 mL for any type of water application, except overhead?
		WU 10d (6)	WU 10d (6)	Do records show the water system was not used while the water quality was inadequate?
38-39	Table 2A & Figure 1	WU 10d (7)	WU 10d (7)	If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?
	riguici	WU 10d (8)	WU 10d (8)	If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella, do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?
		WU 11	WU 11	Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?
		WU 12	WII 12	The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli?

Irrigation W	later from TYPE	A Agriculture W	ater Systems	Sourced from Public or Private Providers
40-42	Table 2B	WU 13	WU 13	Is the TYPE A Irrigation water sourced from a public or private provider?
40-42	10-42 Table 2B	WU 14	WU 14	Was the public or private provider's most current COA available for review (e.g. may be provided by municipalities,
40-42	Table 2b	WU 14	WU 14	irrigation districts, or other water providers)?
		WU 15	WU 15	Was an initial microbial water quality assessment performed at least one-time seasonally for each system (before the 21
		WO 10	W 10	day to-scheduled-harvest-period begins)?
		WU 15a	WU 15a	Were three 100 mL samples taken during one irrigation event for the initial microbial water quality assessment, and at least
				one taken from the end of the delivery system?
		WU 15b	WU 15b	Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples and the remaining acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples and the remaining acceptance of the control of the co
				mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL?
		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	)A/I I 455 (4)	If WU 15b answered "NO" then WU 15b (1) - WU 15b (4) will drop down
40-43	Table 2B &	WU 15b (1)	WU 15b (1) WU 15b (2)	
40-43	Figure 2A	VVO 15b (2)	WU 150 (2)	Did the five samples meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the
		WU 15b (3)	WU 15b (3)	one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
		WII 15h (4)	WU 15b (4)	
				If a material change was made to a system was another initial microbial water quality assessment conducted?
				Ware three 100 ml, complex with at least one taken from the end of the delivery system taken during one irrigation event for
		WU 16a	WU 16a	the initial microbial water quality assessment?
		WU 16b	WU 16b	Did campling meet the acceptance criteria, three 100 ml, camples with non detectable generic E, coli in two of the three 100
				mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL?
				If WU 16b answered "NO" then WU 16b (1) - WU 16b (4) will drop down
			WU 16b (1)	
40-43	Table 2B &	WU 16b (2)	WU 16b (2)	
10 10	Figure 2A	W/LL 16h (3)	WU 16b (3)	Did the five samples meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the
		` , ,	` ,	one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
		WU 16b (4)	WU 16b (4)	
				Was a routine verification of microbial water quality performed on each distinct irrigation system at least once during
		WU 17	WU 17	the season?
		WU 17a	WU 17a	Were three 100 mL samples taken during the routine verification with at least one taken from the end of the delivery system used to evaluate acceptance criteria?
40-43	Table 2B &			Did the three samples meet acceptance criterion - two must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining
	Figure 2B	WU 17b	WU 17b	sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
	r iguro 2B			If WU 17b answered "NO" then WU 17b (1) - WU 17b (2) will drop down
		WU 17b (1)	WU 17b (1)	
			` `	Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 ml. samples during the next irrigation event with at least one taken from the
		WU 17b (2)	WU 17b (2)	end of the delivery system)?
	!	1		, , ,

Irrigation W	Vater from TYPE	A Agriculture W	ater Systems	S Sourced from Public or Private Providers
				If WU 17b (2) answered "NO" then WU 17b (3) - WU 17b (5) will drop down
		WU 17b (3)	WU 17b (3)	Was the agricultural water discontinued for Type A use?
40-43	Table 2B & Figure 2B	WU 17b (4)	WU 17b (4)	If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?
		WU 17b (5)	WU 17b (5)	not narvested for numan consumption?
40-43	Table 2B &	WU 18	WU 18	Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?
40-43	Figure 2B	WU 19	WU 19	The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli?
Irrigation W	Vater from TYPE	A Agricultural W	later System	s Sourced from Private Wells or Regulated Tertiary Treated Recycled Water Supplies
		WU 20	WU 20	For the purpose of baseline microbial assessment are records of analysis of source water available - historical water test data?
		WU 20a	WU 20a	Is a self-certification with historical water test data available that states the acceptance criteria has been met with at least one test taken within the last 6 months?
45-48	Table 2C &	WU 20b	WU 20b	If "NO" was the system tested two times, three 100 mL samples at the source, no less than seven days apart prior to using the water in the 21 days-to-scheduled harvest window?
	Figure 3A	WU 20c	WU 20c	Did the sampling meet the acceptance criteria - five of the six total samples have no detectable generic E. coli and the remaining sample has no greater than 10 MPN in 100 mL?
				If WU 20c answered "NO" then WU 20c (1) - WU 20c (2) will drop down
		WU 20c (1)	WU 20c (1)	Was an agricultural water assessment and root cause analysis performed?
		WU 20c (2)	WU 20c (2)	Was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?
		WU 21	WU 21	Was an initial microbial water quality assessment performed at least one-time seasonally for each system (before the 21 day to-scheduled-harvest-period begins)?
		WU 21a	WU 21a	Were three 100 mL samples from the end of the delivery system taken during one irrigation event for the initial microbial water quality assessment?
45 47 0 40	Table 2C &	WU 21b	WU 21b	Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples from end of delivery system with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of three 100 mL samples and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL?
45-47 & 49	Figure 3B			If WU 21b answered "NO" then WU 21b (1) - WU 21b (4) will drop down
		WU 21b (1)	WU 21b (1)	
		WU 21b (2)	WU 21b (2)	Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?
		WU 21b (3)	WU 21b (3)	Did the five samples meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
		WU 21b (4)	WU 21b (4)	If "NO" was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?

Irrigation W	later from TYPE	A Agricultural W	later System	s Sourced from Private Wells or Regulated Tertiary Treated Recycled Water Supplies
		WU 22	WU 22	If a material change was made to a system was another initial microbial water quality assessment conducted?
		WU 22a	WU 22a	Were three 100 mL samples with at least one taken from the end of the delivery system taken during one irrigation event for
		VV 22a	VV 22a	the initial microbial water quality assessment?
		WU 22b	WU 22b	Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples from end of delivery system with non-detectable generic
	Table 2C &	VVO 22b	VVO 220	E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL?
45-47 & 49	Figure 3B			If WU 22b answered "NO" then WU 22b (1) - WU 22b (4) will drop down
	r igaro ob		WU 22b (1)	Was an agricultural water assessment and root cause analysis performed prior to the next irrigation event?
		WU 22b (2)	WU 22b (2)	Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?
		W/LL 22h (3)	WU 22b (3)	Did sampling meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four of the five total samples must have no detectable generic
		, ,	` ′	E. coil and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
			WU 22b (4)	If "NO" was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?
Irrigation W	later from TYPE	A Agricultural W	later System	s Sourced from Private Wells or Regulated Tertiary Treated Recycled Water Supplies
		WU 23		Was routine verification performed on each distinct irrigation system sampled and tested for generic E. coli at least
		110 20	110 20	once during the season with three 100 mL samples at the end of the delivery system?
		WU 23a	WU 23a	Were three 100 mL samples taken during the routine verification from the end of the delivery system to evaluate acceptance
		170 200	VVO 23a	criteria?
		WU 23b	WU 23b	Did the three samples meet acceptance criteria - two must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining
		WO 23B	W 0 200	sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
				If WU 23b answered "NO" then WU 23b (1) - WU 23b (3) will drop down
	Table 2C &		WU 23b (1)	Was a Level 1 Assessment performed prior to the next irrigation event?
45-47 & 50	Figure 3C	WU 23b (2)	WU 23b (2)	Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?
	ga. c c c	WU 23b (3)	WU 23b (3)	Did the five samples for the level one assessment meet acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E.
				coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
				If WU 23b (3) answered "NO" then WU 23b (4) - WU 23b (6) will drop down
		WU 23b (4)	WU 23b (4)	Was the agricultural water discontinued for Type A use?
		W/LL 23h (5)	WU 23b (5)	If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots
		W 6 265 (6)	W 0 200 (0)	for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?
		WI 23b (6)	WU 23b (6)	If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was
		W 0 200 (0)	W 0 200 (0)	not harvested for human consumption?
		WU 24	WU 24	Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis,
45-47	Table 2C	110 24	W 0 2 4	and if quantitative, the detection limit?
	. 0.5.0 20	WU 24a	WU 24a	The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring
				of water for generic E. coli and total coliforms?
Irrigation W	later from Treat	ed TYPE B->A A		•
35	542	WU 25		Was an SOP established outlining irrigation treatment and process parameters for irrigation treatment systems based
	0.12	110 20		on the Initial Irrigation water Treatment Assessment?

Irrigation V	Vater from Treate	ed TYPE B->A Ag	gricultural Wa	ater Systems
35	547	WU 26		Was an Initial Irrigation Water Treatment Assessment performed to establish treatment process parameters prior to 21
0.5	550	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		days-to-scheduled harvest?
35	550	WU 26a		
35	545	WU 26b		i ü
51	Table 2D (D1)		WU 27	Was routine verification of microbial water quality for each distinct system performed?
51	Table 2D	WU 27a	WU 27a	
51	Table 2D	WU 27b		occasions separated by at least three days?
51	Table 2D	WU 27c	WU 27c	
51	Table 2D	WU 27d	WU 27d	Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL?
Irrigation V	Vater from Treate	ed TYPE B→A Ag	ricultural Wa	ater Systems
				If WU 27c or WU 27d answered "NO" then WU 27d (1) - WU 27d (3) will drop down
58	Table 2F	WU 27d (1)	WU 27d (1)	Was a Level 1 Assessment performed prior to the next irrigation event?
58	Table 2F	WU 27d (2)	WU 27d (2)	Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?
58	Table 2F	MIL 274 (2)	WU 27d (3)	Did the five samples for the level one assessment meet acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E.
36	Table 2F	VVU 27a (3)	WU 270 (3) 	coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?
58				If WU 27d (3) answered "NO" then WU 27d (4) - WU 27d (6) will drop down
58	Table 2F	WU 27d (4)	WU 27d (4)	Was the agricultural water discontinued for Type A use?
58	Table 2F	WU 27d (5)	WU 27d (5)	If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?
58	Table 2F	WU 27d (6)	WU 27d (6)	If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?
51	Table 2D (D1)	WU 28	WU 28	Did all samples meet the data monitoring criteria for Total Coliform - maximum level of no greater than 99 MPN per 100 mL?
51	Table 2D (D1)	WU 29	WU 29	Was there an adequate log reduction (as outlined in Appendix A) in Total Coliforms, based on the untreated water's baseline levels?
				Note: If "NO" to WU28 or WU29 then continue to monitor for total coliforms and continue to evaluate your irrigation treatment system to identify and correct any failures.
52	Table 2D (D2)	WU 30	WU 30	Is the water treatment system being monitored when in use for flow rates and treatment related parameters per the SOP (routine water treatment monitoring)?
52	Table 2D (D2)	WU 31	WU 31	During every irrigation event, treatment-related parameter values such as residual antimicrobial levels, pH, dose settings, UVT, etc. must be documented to demonstrate the system is working as intended?
52	Table 2D (D2)	WU 32	WU 32	Is the system tested for microbial water quality if the monitoring parameters fall outside the acceptable criteria?
52	Table 2D (D2)	WU 33	WU 33	Are USEPA antimicrobial water treatments being used, per the label instructions?
52	Table 2D (D2)	WU 34	WU 34	Was the crop nutrients and/or crop protection materials window not invoked within 21 days to scheduled harvest for overhead irrigation?

Irrigation W	ation Water from Treated TYPE B→ Agricultural Water Systems					
				If WU 34 answered "NO" then WU 34a - WU 34c (3) will drop down		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34a	WU 34a	Was it followed by antimicrobial water treatment?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34b	WU 34b	Was Option 1 selected?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34b (1)	WU 34b (1)	after the last irrigation event?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34b (2)	( )	narvested for numan consumption?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34c	WU 34c	Was Option 2 selected?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34c (1)	( )	nutrition/protection chemicals were applied?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34c (2)		Was microbial water quality acceptance criteria and action as described in Table X taken?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 34c (3)	WU 34c (3)	If no, or the tests were positive for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 35	WU 35	If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production within 21 days to scheduled harvest was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?		
53	Table 2D (D3)	WU 35a	WU 35a	If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?		
51	Table 2D (D1)	WU 36	WU 36	Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?		
51	Table 2D (D1)	WU 37	WU 37	The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli and total coliforms?		
<b>Harvest Dir</b>	ect Produce Co	ntact, Harvest Fo	ood Contact	Surfaces and Hand Wash Water (On-Farm Practices Only)		
59-60	Table 2G	WU 38		Is the water that directly contacts edible portions of harvested crop, hand wash water or used on food-contact surfaces (i.e. equipment or utensils) from a source that meets the U.S. EPA Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for E. coli.?		
59-60	Table 2G	WU 38a	WU 38a	If "NO" has the water received sufficient disinfection to meet the USEPA MCLG for microbial quality?		
59-60	Table 2G	WU 39	WU 39	Was a source water test conducted for each source of water within 60 days of first use?		
		WU 40	WU 40	Are records available to demonstrate that water samples or monitoring results have been collected from each water distribution system within the last month?		
		WU 40a	WU 40a	Were the microbial acceptance criteria met?		
59-60 & 61	Table 2G & Figure 6	WU 40b	WU 40b	Is there a corrective action SOP for harvest direct produce contact, harvest food contact surfaces and hand wash water that does not meet acceptance criteria?		
				If WU 40a is answered "NO" then WU 40b (1) - WU 40b (8)will drop down		
		WU 40b (1)	WU 40b (1)	Was use of the water discontinued after the tests indicated the water source failed to meet the minimum water quality requirements?		

Harvest Dir	rect Produce Co	ntact, Harvest Fo	ood Contact	Surfaces and Hand Wash Water (On-Farm Practices Only)			
		WU 40b (2)	WU 40b (2)	Was an agricultural water assessment completed on the water source and distribution system for possible contamination?			
		WU 40b (3)	WU 40b (3)	Do records show that corrective actions were taken per SOP to eliminate the contamination sources?			
		WU 40b (4)	WU 40b (4)	Was the water retested at the same sampling point?			
59-60 & 61	Table 2G &	WU 40b (5)	WU 40b (5)	Did the retest results meet the acceptance criteria - non-detectable per U.S. EPA Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for E. coli. (e.g. less than 2.2 MPN/100 mL)?			
39-00 & 01	Figure 6	WU 40b (6)	WU 40b (6)	Do records show the water was not used while the water quality was inadequate? (e.g. records for a change in the water source)			
		WU 40b (7)	WU 40b (7)	If water exceeding acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, and Salmonella?			
		WU 40b (8)	WU 40b (8)	Records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption when the tests were positive for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, or Salmonella?			
59-60	Table 2G	WU 41	WU 41	If the water is reused (multi-pass), is sufficient disinfectant added and monitored at routine intervals to prevent possible cross-contamination? (e.g. Chlorine-more than 1ppm free chlorine and pH 5.5-7.5 or other approved treatment per product EPA label for human pathogen reduction in water)			
59-60	Table 2G	WU 42	WU 42	If disinfectant is used during re-hydration or product coring in the field (single-pass) does the water have breakpoint disinfectant present at point of entry and does the operation monitor and test for disinfectant levels?			
Municipal &	Municipal & Well Exemptions						
59-60	Table 2G	WU 43	WU 43	Is the source water from a municipal supply or well?			
59-60	Table 2G	WU 43a	WU 43a	Does this source qualify for the 5 consecutive monthly samples below the generic E. coli detection limit on record exemption?			
59-60	Table 2G	WU 43b	WU 43b	Is the last sample recorded within 180 days of the audit date?			
59-60	Table 2G	WU 44	WU 44	Show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?			
59-60	Table 2G	WU 45	WU 45	The generic E. coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli?			

	Soil Amendments and Crop Inputs						
62	755	SA 01		SA 01	Are there SOP's that address the implementation of storage and application controls that establish management		
02	755	SAUI		SAUI	controls that significantly reduce the likelihood that soil amendments being used may contain human pathogens.		
					Does the SOP address the following:		
63	758		SA 01a				
63	759		SA 01b				
63	760		SA 01c		Delivery and transport		
63	761		SA 01d		,		
63	762		SA 01e				
63	763		SA 01f		Timing of application in the crop cycle and processes used for application.		
63	764		SA 01g				
63	765		SA 01h				
63	766		SA 01i		Visitor and employee movements		
63	767		SA 01j				
65	838	SA 02		ISAMO	Are there SOP's that address the implementation of storage and application controls that establish management		
00		OA 02		0A 02	controls that significantly reduce the likelihood that crop inputs being used may contain human pathogens.		
					Does the SOP address the following:		
65	841		SA 02a		Supplier Approval (e.g. Supplier and/or on-farm SOP to prevent cross contamination and written sampling procedures)		
65	842		SA 02b		Source and Quality of the amendment		
65	843		SA 02c		Delivery and transport		
65	844		SA 02d		Surplus/unconsumed inventory		
65	845		SA 02e		Length of Storage and storage location prior to crop application		
65	846		SA 02f		Timing of application in the crop cycle and processes used for application.		
65	847		SA 02g		Weather events (wind, rain and water runoff)		
65	848		SA 02h		Potential for animal intrusion while on farm		
65	849		SA 02i		1 7		
65	850		SA 02j	•	Vehicle traffic		
63 & 65	752 & 865	SA 03			Do you use any materials that are not verified to be safe for food production as soil amendment or crop input?		
65	835		SA 03a		If water is used to make agricultural tea does it meet Table 2G acceptance?		
62 & 65	748 & 833	SA 04		SA 04	Do you use soil amendments or crop inputs made from mortality composting processes? If yes, answer the following		
		0,,,,,			question.		
62 & 65	748 & 833		SA 04a				
63 & 64	754 & 825	SA 05		SA 05	Do you use soil amendments or crop inputs made from post-consumer waste materials? If yes, answer the following		
64 & 64	755 & 825		SA 05a	SA 05a	Were the materials used according to all local, state, and federal regulations?		
63	768	SA 06		SA 06	If any soil amendments and/or crop inputs became contaminated, was the product segregated and not used until		
03	708			3A 06	determined safe for food production?		
63	768	SA 07		SA 07	Are on-farm produced soil amendments and/or crop inputs used? If yes, answer the following question.		
63	768		SA 07a	SA 07a	Are soil amendments and/or crop inputs suspected of being contaminated? If yes, answer the following question.		

				Soil Amendments and Crop Inputs				
				Are soil amendments and/or crop inputs segregated and kept from use until it is determined to be safe for food				
63	770	SA 07a(1)	SA 07a(1)	production? (Proof of reconditioning, verification it is free of pathogens - COA)				
Raw manui	aw manure, untreated animal products/by-products, or not fully composted green waste, biosolids, and/or animal manure-containing soil amendments (see composted)							
				Was raw manure and/or other soil amendments containing untreated animal by-products, uncomposted or incompletely				
				composted animal manure, or non-thermally treated animal manure applied to lettuce/leafy greens production? If yes,				
62	743		SA 08	answer the following question.				
66	Table 3	SA 08a	SA 08a	7 0 1 7 7 0 1				
66	Table 3	SA 08b		<b>3</b> 1 3 7				
66	Table 3	SA 08b (1)	. ,					
66	Table 3	SA 08b (2)	SA 08b (2)					
				Have <u>Type A biosolids</u> been used as a soil amendment or used as an ingredient for soil amendments for lettuce/leafy				
66	Table 3		SA 09	greens production? If yes, answer the following question.				
66	Table 3	SA 09a	SA 09a	70 1				
				Have <u>Type B biosolids</u> been used as a soil amendment or used as an ingredient for soil amendments for lettuce/leafy				
66	Table 3	SA 10	SA 10	greens production? If yes, answer the following question.				
66	Table 3	SA10a	SA10a	Were leafy greens planted within 38 months from application?				
7A- Compo	sted Soil Amend	dments (contain	animal manu	re or animal products)				
				Were any soil amendments of animal origin composted with the <u>windrow method</u> applied to the field within the last				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 11	SA 11	year? If yes, answer the following question.				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 11a	SA 11a	Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 11a (1)	SA 11a (1)	Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 11a (2)	SA 11a (2)	Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?				
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment has been				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 11a (3)	SA 11a (3)	adequately cured?				
				Were any soil amendments of animal origin composted with the <b>Enclosed or Within-Vessel</b> composting method? If yes,				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 12	SA 12	answer the following question.				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 12a	SA 12a	Are Process Validation records available for review?				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 12b	SA 12b	Was the active compost maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?				
				Were any soil amendments of animal origin composted with the <u>Aerated Static Pile</u> Composting method? If yes, answer				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 13	SA 13	the following question.				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 13a	SA 13a	<b>J</b> 1				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 13b						
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 13c						
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment has been				
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 13d	SA 13d	·				

7A- Compos	sted Soil Amend	dments (contain	animal manu	re or animal products)
				Has each lot of composted material or soil amendment been applied to the production location more than 45 days
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 14	SA 14	before harvest?
				Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required? If yes,
67-68		SA 15	SA 15	answer the following question.
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16	SA 16	Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.
				Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16a		Fecal coliforms : < 1000 MPN / gram
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16b		
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16c	SA 16c	
				Have the recommended test methods been used:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16d		Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16e	SA 16e	Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16f	SA 16f	
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16g	SA 16g	Other U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC-accredited methods used as an appropriate replacement
				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16h	SA 16h	Was a representative and random composite sample obtained as described in the California State regulations?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16i	SA 16i	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?
				Has the testing frequency been used:
				Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16j	SA 16j	
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 16j(1)	SA 16j(1)	If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7A?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 17		Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 18		Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?
7B[1] Comp	osted Soil Ame	ndments Not Co		ducts of Animal Origin (Green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post consumer waste not containing products of
animal origi	in, etc.)			
				Were any soil amendments of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 19	SA 19	year? If yes, answer the following question.
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 19a	SA 19a	Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 19a (1)	SA 19a (1)	Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 19a (2)	SA 19a (2)	Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment has been
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 19a (3)	SA 19a (3)	
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.)
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 20	SA 20	available that shows the soil amendment is free of product of animal origin?
				Were any soil amendments of non-animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 21	SA 21	yes, answer the following question.
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 21a		
67-68	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 21a (1)	SA 21a (1)	

	B[1] Composted Soil Amendments Not Containing Products of Animal Origin (Green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post consumer waste not containing products of nimal origin, etc.)						
			I	Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 21a (2)	SA 21a (2)				
				Were any soil amendments of non-animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting method? If yes,			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 22	SA 22	answer the following question.			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 22a	SA 22a	Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 22a (1)	SA 22a (1)	Was the active compost covered with insulating materials, per federal, state and local regulations?			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 22a (2)	SA 22a (2)	Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days or longer?			
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment has been			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 22a (3)	SA 22a (3)				
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.)			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 23	SA 23	available that shows the soil amendment is free of product of animal origin?			
				Has each lot of composted material or soil amendment been applied to the production location more than 45 days			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 24	SA 24	before harvest?			
				Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required? If yes,			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	+	SA 25	answer the following questions.			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26	SA 26	Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.			
00.70	T 11 0 7D(4)	04.00	04.00	Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26a					
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26b		ů v v			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26c	SA 26c	1 07			
	T 11 0 70711	24.00	24.00.	Have the recommended test methods been used:			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26d		·			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26e					
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26f					
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26g	SA 26g	' ' '			
				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26h		1 1			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26i	SA 26i				
				Has the testing frequency been used:			
		SA 26i	SA 26i	Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]			greater than one calendar year?			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 26j (1)					
69-70		SA 27	SA 27	Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 28	SA 28	Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?			

				ents Not Containing products of Animal origin (fungal/bacterial extracts, green/plant waste, plant extracts, vegetative not containing products of animal origin, etc.)
Ī		 		Were any non-composted soil amendments of non-animal origin applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 29		the following question.
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 29a	SA 29a	
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30	SA 30	Has each lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.
71-72				Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30a	SA 30a	Fecal coliforms: < 1000 MPN / gram of total solids (Dry weight basis)
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA30b	SA30b	Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA30c	SA30c	STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30d	SA 30d	Listeria monocytogenes: Negative
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30e	SA 30e	Have recommended test methods (U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC or validated/accredited methods) been used?
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30f	SA 30f	Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?
71-72				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria for the following:
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30g	SA 30g	For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30h	SA 30h	
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30i	SA 30i	If no, is an application time interval used?
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30j	SA 30j	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process?
71-72				Has the testing frequency been used:
				Were there products suspected of contamination or was bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 30k		·
71-72		SA 30k (1)	. ,	
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]			Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]			Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?
7C Biologic	cal Soil Amendr	nents that have ថ្		n a Validated Treatment Process (not including composting)
.				Has a soil amendment been applied that has gone through a heat validated treatment process? If yes, answer the
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33	SA 33	following questions.
.				Are a Certificate of Process Validity as defined by the "Guidelines" and a COA that meets acceptance criteria available for
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33a	SA 33a	
.				If a Certificate of Process Validity is not available and COA that meets acceptance criteria is available, was the amendment
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33b		
7C Biologic	cal Soil Amendr	nents that have o	one through	n a Validated Treatment Process (not including composting)
				Have acceptance criteria been met for each of the following:
70-72	Table 3-7C	SA 33c		
70-72	Table 3-7C	SA 33d		0
70-72	Table 3-7C	SA 33e		
70-72	Table 3-7C	SA 33f	SA 33f	Listeria monocytogenes: Not detected of Detection Limit (<1 CFU/5 grams)

7C Biologic	cal Soil Amendn	nents that have o	gone through	a Validated Treatment Process (not including composting)
				Have the recommended test methods been used:
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33g		Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33h	SA 33h	Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33i	SA 33i	, , ,
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33j	SA 33j	U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or other validated/accredited methods may be used as appropriate
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33k	SA 33k	Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?
72-74				Has the Sampling Plan followed the acceptable criteria for the following:
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33I	SA 33I	For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33m	SA 33m	For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33n	SA 33n	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process?
72-74				Has the testing frequency been used:
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33o		Was each lot tested before application to production fields?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 33p	SA 33p	Was the lot suspected of contamination and reconditioned/re-processed?
72-74		SA 33p (1)	SA 33p (1)	If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7C?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 34	SA 34	Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
72-74		SA 35	3A 34	Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?
		nic Soil Amendr		Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?
74 Synthet		SA 36		Have synthetic and/or Inorganic Soil Amendments been applied?
74	Table 3 - 7D	3A 36		Is documentation available that shows the soil amendment is free of non-synthetic products and not containing
74	Table 3 - 7D	SA 37		ingredients of animal origin or manure?
74		SA 38		Were products used in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations?
74		SA 39		Was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
		Combined Com		was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application of before first use):
7 E - 0011 A11	ilenaments with			Has a soil amendment that has combined different categories of materials been applied within the past year? If yes,
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40		answer the following question.
, ,	Tuble 0 TE	0A 40	0/140	Does the combined soil amendment include:
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40a	SA 40a	Composted material containing animal manure or animal products
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40b		
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40c		Non-Composted, Solid and Liquid, not containing products of animal origin
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40d		Biological material that has gone through a Validated Treatment Process
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40e		Synthetic and/or Inorganic material
	7 3 3 3 4 4		2.7.702	Have the acceptance criteria been met the requirement of its respective class of materials? (See above for appropriate
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40f	SA 40f	criteria.)
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 40g		Has the sampling plan followed the criteria for the requirement of its respective class of materials?
7E - Soil An		Combined Com		
				If product has been applied to the edible portion of the crop, have application intervals for the requirement of its
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 41		respective class of materials per the most stringent limits been followed?
				Are test results, COAs, and documentation current, reviewed before use and available for verification from the grower
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 42		for a period of two years?
		l		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

_				
Raw manu	re, untreated an	imai products/by	-products, o	r not fully composted green waste, biosolids, and/or animal manure-containing <u>crop inputs</u> (see composted)
				Were raw manure and/or other Crop Inputs containing untreated animal by-products, uncomposted or incompletely
				composted animal manure, or non-thermally treated animal manure applied to lettuce/leafy greens production? If yes,
60	681	SA 43	SA 43	answer the following question.
66	Table 3	SA 43a	SA 43a	3 0 1 3 7 7 0 1
66	Table 3	SA 43b	_	
66	Table 3	SA 43b (1)	SA 43b (1)	Was soil testing conducted?
66	Table 3	SA 43b (2)	SA 43b (2)	Did testing results meet the required acceptance criteria?
66	Table 3	SA 44	SA 44	Have Type A biosolids been used as a crop input or an ingredient for crop inputs for lettuce/leafy greens production?
66	Table 3	SA 44a	SA 44a	Were leafy greens planted within one year from application?
66	Table 3	SA 45	SA 45	Have Type B biosolids been used as a crop input or an ingredient for crop inputs for lettuce/leafy greens production?
66	Table 3	SA 45a	SA 45a	Were leafy greens planted within 38 months from application?
7A- Compo	sted Crop Input	s (contain anima	I manure or	animal products)
				Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the crop within the last year? If
67-68	Table 3-7A		SA 46	yes, answer the following questions.
67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	<b>SA 46</b> SA 46a		yes, answer the following questions.
			SA 46a	yes, answer the following questions.  Are <u>Process Validation</u> records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 46a	SA 46a SA 46a (1)	yes, answer the following questions.  Are <u>Process Validation</u> records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?
67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1)	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2)	yes, answer the following questions.  Are <u>Process Validation</u> records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been
67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1)	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2)	yes, answer the following questions.  Are <u>Process Validation</u> records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been
67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2)	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2)	yes, answer the following questions.  Are <u>Process Validation</u> records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been
67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3)	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3)	yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.
67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3)	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3)	yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.
67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3)	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47 SA 47a	yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?
67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47 SA 47a	yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?
67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47 SA 47a SA 47b	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47 SA 47a	yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Was the active compost was maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.
67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68 67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47 SA 47a SA 47b	SA 46a SA 46a (1) SA 46a (2) SA 46a (3) SA 47 SA 47a SA 47b	yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.  Are Process Validation records available for review?  Was the active compost was maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?  Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting method? If yes, answer the following questions.

7A- Compo	osted Crop Inpu	ts (contain anima	Il manure or	animal products)
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 48c	SA 48c	Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 48d	SA 48d	Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured?
				Has each lot of composted material or crop input been applied to the production location more than 45 days before
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 49	SA 49	harvest?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 50	SA 50	Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required? If yes, answer the following questions.
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51	SA 51	Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.
07-00	Table 3-7A	JA 31	3431	Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51a	SA 51a	
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51a		
				i i
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51c	SA 51c	1 6;
	T 0 TA	24.54.1	0.5.5.	Have the recommended test methods been used:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51d		· · ·
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51e		
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51f		
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51g	SA 51g	
				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51h		
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51i	SA 51i	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?
				Has the testing frequency been used:
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 51j	SA 51j	Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for greater than one calendar year?
		SA 51j (1)	SA 51j (1)	If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7A?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 52	SA 52	Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
67-68	Table 3-7A	SA 53	SA 53	Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?
7B[1] Com origin, etc.	-	puts Not Contain	ing Products	of Animal Origin (green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post-consumer waste not containing products of animal
Jilgili, etc.	<i>)</i>	T T		Ware any Cran Innute of non-onimal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 54	SA 54	Were any Crop Inputs of non-animal origin composted with the <u>windrow method</u> applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following questions.
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 54a		
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 54a (2)		
30.0		3.13.14(2)	3, 10 15 (L)	Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 54a (3)	SA 54a (3)	

7B[1] Com origin, etc.		outs Not Contain	ing Products	s of Animal Origin (green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post-consumer waste not containing products of animal
origini, etc.	1	I	I	Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 54a (4)	SA 54a (4)	
		57.0.4 (1)	5,1014(1)	Were any Crop Inputs of non-animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes,
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 55	SA 55	answer the following questions.
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 55a	SA 55a	
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 55b	SA 55b	Was the active compost was maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 55c	SA 550	that shows the crop inputs is free of product of animal origin?
				Were any Crop Inputs of non-animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting method? If yes, answer
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]		SA 56	the following questions.
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 56a	SA 56a	Are Process Validation records available for review?
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 56b	SA 56b	
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 56c	SA 560	Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days?
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 56d	SA 56d	cured?
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 56e	SA 56e	that shows the crop input is free of product of animal origin?
				Has each lot of composted material or crop input been applied to the production location more than 45 days before
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]		SA 57	harvest?
69-70		SA 58	SA 58	Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required?
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59	SA 59	Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.
				Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59a		
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59b		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59c	SA 590	STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit per methodology used
				Have the recommended test methods been used:
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59d		· ·
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59e		
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59f		
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59g	SA 59g	
				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59h	SA 59h	
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59i	SA 59	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?
			SA 59	Has the testing frequency been used:
				Has the testing frequency been used:  Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for

	7B[1] Composted Crop Inputs Not Containing Products of Animal Origin (green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post-consumer waste not containing products of animal						
origin, etc.)	Table 3-7B[1]	SA 59j (1)	SA 59j (1)	If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7B[1]?			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]		SA 60	Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?			
69-70	Table 3-7B[1]		SA 60	, ,			
				Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?  Not Containing products of Animal origin (fungal/bacterial extracts, green/plant waste, plant extracts, vegetative material,			
				aining products of animal origin, etc.)			
				Were any non-composted Crop Inputs of non-animal origin applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 62	SA 62	following question.			
				Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 62a		that shows the crop input is free of product of animal origin?			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63	SA 63	Has each lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.			
				Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63a					
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63b	SA 63b				
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63c	SA 63c	STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63d	SA 63d	Listeria monocytogenes: Negative			
				Have recommended test methods (U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC or validated/accredited methods) been used as			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63e	SA 63e	appropriate?			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63f	SA 63f	Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?			
				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria for the following:			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63g	_	·			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63h	SA 63h	For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63i		, 11			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63j	SA 63j	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process?			
				Has the testing frequency been used:			
				Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]	SA 63k					
		SA 63k (1)	SA 63k (1)	If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7B[2]?			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]		SA 64	Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?			
71-72	Table 3-7B[2]		SA 65	Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?			
7C- Biolog	ical Crop Inputs	that have gone	through a Va	lidated Treatment Process (not including composting)			
				Has a crop input been applied that has gone through a validated treatment process? If yes, answer the following			
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66	SA 66	questions.			
				Are a Certificate of Process Validity as defined by the "Guidelines" and a COA that meets acceptance criteria available for			
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66a	SA 66a				
				If a Certificate of Process Validity is not available and COA that meets acceptance criteria is available, was the amendment			
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66b	SA 66b	11			
72-74	Table 3-7C			Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:			

7C- Biologi	ical Crop Inputs	that have gone	through a Va	lidated Treatment Process (not including composting)
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66c		Fecal coliforms: Negative or less than Detection Limit per gram
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66d	SA 66d	Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66e	SA 66e	STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66f	SA 66f	Listeria monocytogenes: Not detected or less than Detection Limit (<1 CFU/5 grams)
				Have the recommended test methods been used for the following:
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66g		Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66h		Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66i		STEC and Listeria monocytogenes: Any laboratory validated method for compost
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66j	,	U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or other validated/accredited methods may be used as appropriate
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66k	SA 66k	Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?
				Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66I		For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66m		For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66n	SA 66n	Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process
				Has the testing frequency been used:
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 660		Was each lot tested before application to production fields?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 66p		Was the lot suspected of contamination and reconditioned/re-processed?
		SA 66p(1)	/	If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7C?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 67		Were products used in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations?
72-74	Table 3-7C	SA 68	SA 68	Was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
7D - Synthe	tic and/or Inorg	anic Crop Inputs	;	
74	Table 3 - 7D	SA 69	SA 69	Have synthetic and/or Inorganic Crop Inputs been applied to the crop?
				Is documentation available that shows the crop input is free of non-synthetic products and not containing ingredients
74		SA 70		of animal origin or manure?
74		SA 71		Were products used in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations?
74	Table 3 - 7D	SA 72	SA 72	Was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?
7E – Combi	ned Crop Input	Components		
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73		Has a crop input that has combined different categories of materials been applied within the past year? If yes, answer the following questions.
74	14510 0 72	OA 10	OA 10	Does the combined crop input include:
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73a	SA 73a	Composted material containing animal manure or animal products
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73b		Composted material not containing products of animal origin
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73c		Non-Composted, Solid and Liquid, Not Containing products of animal origin
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73d		Biological material that have gone through a Validated Treatment Process
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73e		Synthetic and/or Inorganic material
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73f		Have the acceptance criteria been met for the highest risk ingredient? (See previous sections for appropriate criteria.)
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 73g		Has the sampling plan followed the criteria for the highest risk ingredient?
		1		If product has been applied to the edible portion of the crop, have application intervals for the requirement of its
74	Table 3 - 7E	SA 74		respective class of materials per the most stringent limits been followed?
				Are test results, COAs, and documentation current, reviewed before use and available for verification from the
75	Table 3 - 7E	SA 75		grower for a period of two years?
				y

	Field Sanitation Daily Harvest Assessment							
General Requirements [SECTION MOVED UP]								
81	1025	FS 01	FS 01	Is there a written policy for all employees and all visitors in the field location which describes the required field sanitation SOPs?				
81	1028	FS 01a	FS 02h	growing operations?				
81	1028	FS01b		Is a specific individual designated as responsible for food safety compliance with the best practices of the LGMA for harvesting?				
<b>Daily Harve</b>	est Assessment	SECTION MOVE						
			FS 03	Is a documented daily food safety harvest assessment available for review?				
22	128	FS 02a		Is the assessment dated?				
22	128	FS 02b						
21	118	FS 02c	FS 03c	Are the specific growing blocks associated with the assessment clearly identified?				
22	128	FS 02d	FS03d	Is the Harvester name and contact information documented?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e	FS 03e	Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion or potential risk of intrusion?				
				If FS 03e is answered "NO" then FS 03e (1) - FS 03e (6) will drop down.				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (1)	FS 03e (1)					
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (2)	FS 03e (2)	Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (3)	FS 02e	Is there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (4)	FS 03e (3)	If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (5)	FS 03e (4)	Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (6)	FS 03e (5)	If "YES" were corrective actions carried out per the LGMA requirements?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 02e (7)	FS 03e (6)	If "YES" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?				
			FS 03f	Did the daily harvest assessment address changes in weather condition or weather events (e.g. severe wind, hail, freeze, excessive rain, or consecutive weather events) since the last assessment?				
24	225-228	FS 03		Did the assessment address environmental sources of contaminants after a change in weather conditions or weather events since the last assessment?				
				If the assessment indicates the production area had a changes in weather condition or weather event during the production period are the following addressed:				
			FS 03f (1)	Petential impact on the crop or operations?				
91-92	Table 6	FS 03a	FS 03f (2)	If the crop or operations were impacted were corrective actions carried out according to Company SOP?				
				Field Sanitation Worker Practices				
General Requirements								
81	1025	FS 04	WP 01	Is there a written policy for all employees and all visitors to the field location which describes the required hygiene rules?				
				Does the Policy address the following:				
				Sanitary Facilities Moved below WP06				
81	1031	FS 04a		Field Worker Practices (GMP's, GHP's, etc.)				
82	1059	FS 04b	WP 01c	Worker Health Practices				

Field Worker Practices							
81	1025	FS 05	WP 03	Is there a written worker practices program that establishes employee work rules?			
				Does the program address the following:			
				Requirement for workers to wash their hands with soap and water before beginning or returning to work, and any other time			
81	1032 & 1036	FS 05a	WP 03a	when hands may have become contaminated. <u>Use of antiseptic/sanitizer or wipes as a substitute for soap and water is not</u>			
				permitted.			
82	1043	FS 05b		5.			
82	1058	FS 05c	WP 03c	Storage requirements for personal items in/or adjacent to the field?			
81	1039 &1041	FS 05d	WP 03d	The appropriate use and sanitation of gloves, this includes prohibiting the use of personal gloves and taking gloves home.			
82	1042	FS 05e	WP 03e	Avoid contact with animals			
82	1044	FS 05f	WP 03f	Prohibitions on spitting, urinating or defecating in the field.			
81	1037	FS 05g	WP 03g	Requirement for workers' clothing to be clean at the start of the day.			
82	1048	FS 06	WP 04	For materials targeted for further processing, is there a written physical hazard prevention program?			
				Does the program address the following:			
82	1050	FS 06a	WP 04a	The proper wearing of head and facial hair restraints.			
82	1051	FS 06b	WP 04b	The proper wearing of apron and other food safety apparel.			
82	1054	FS 06c	WP 04c	Removal of visible jewelry (rings, bracelets, necklaces, body piercings, etc.) or covering of hand jewelry prior to the start of work.			
82	1057	FS 06d	WP 04d	Removal of all objects from upper pockets.			
Worker Hea	alth Practices						
72	1059	FS 07	WP 05	Is there a written worker health practices program that establishes employee work rules?			
				Does the program address the following:			
72	1061	FS 07a	WP 05a	Workers with diarrheal disease or symptoms of other infectious disease are prohibited from being in the field or handling fresh produce or food-contact surfaces?			
72	1065	FS 07b	WP 05b	·			
12	1005	F3 07 D	VVP 03b				
72	1067	FS 07c	WP 05c	Instruct personnel to notify supervisors if they may have a health condition that may result in contamination of covered produce or food contact surfaces (e.g. injury or illness).			
72	1071	FS 07d	WP 05d	A policy describing procedures for handling/disposition of produce or food contact surfaces that have come into contact with blood or other body fluids.			

anitary Fa	acilities [MOVE	D DOWN]		
83	1083	FS 08	WP 02	Is there a documented field sanitary facility program? (i.e. SOP)
				Does the program address the following:
83	1088	FS 08a	WP 02a	
83	1091	FS 08b	WP 02b	Sanitary facilities are readily accessible (proximate) to the work area.
83	1092	FS 08c	WP 02c	Sanitary facilities are regularly maintained, cleaned and serviced according to schedule.
83	1093	FS 08d	WP 02d	Sanitary facilities have sufficient consumable supplies (i.e. hand soap, water that meets the hand wash acceptance criteria Table 2G, paper towels, toilet paper, etc.).
83	1095	FS 08e	WP 02e	Readily understandable signs are posted (e.g. to instruct employees to wash their hands after using the facility)
83	1096	FS 08f	WP 02f	Field sanitation facilities are cleaned and serviced with waste disposed of on a scheduled basis and at a location that minimizes the potential risk for product contamination. (i.e. gray water, black water, overspray/drift or runoff)
83	1100	FS 08g	WP 02g	Address the placement and transport of the sanitary facility in order to minimize any impact on the crop in the field includin
83	1100	FS 08h	WP 02h	Minimize the impact on the crop from leaks and/or spills
83	1101	FS 08i	WP 02i	Ability to access the unit for maintenance and cleaning service
83	1097	FS 08j	WP 02j	Response plan in the event of a leak and/or spill. (e.g. an SOP and a documented corrective action)
				Field Sanitation Contact with Soil and Contaminants
neral Re	equirements			
ld and H	Harvest Activiti	ies SOP's		
		FS 09	FS 02	Is there a written field and harvest activity SOP?
				Does the SOP Do SOPs address the following:
80	1000	FS 09a	FS 02a	Prohibit ground or soil contact of cut surfaces.
84	1122	FS 09b		Discard and do not pack lettuce/leafy greens dropped on the ground or soil during harvest.
80	997	FS 09c	FS 02b	Cross contamination by farming equipment and tools that comes into contact with raw manure, untreated compost, waters unknown quality, animal hazards or other potential sources.
		FS 09d	FS 02c	If "YES" does it appropriately restrict the use or require a documented cleaning and sanitation program of the equipment?
		FS 09e	FS 02d	If cleaning and sanitation is required, are records of the cleaning/sanitation available for review.

FS 10

FS 11

1237

1013

87

81

FS 02f

FS 02g

contamination?

Is there a written SOP for production locations that have environmental source of pathogens (i.e. CAFO, dairy, hobby farm

and manure or livestock compost facility) and the potential for contamination during weather conditions and events?

Is there an SOP that addresses waste, trash, and other debris that protects product and production area from

	Field Sanitation Harvest Equipment, Packing Materials and Buildings							
78 & 79	918 & 949	FS 12		FS 04	Is there an SOP/SSOP for food-contact surfaces of harvest equipment, tools, and utensils?			
					Does the SOP/SSOP address the following:			
79	949-958		FS 12a	FS 04a	Equipment specific cleaning instructions			
79	949-958		FS 12b	FS 04b	Method and frequency of cleaning and sanitation			
70			FS 12c	EC 045 (0)	Food contact surfaces on harvest equipment and tools are Cleaning and sanitized Cleaning and Sanitizing are completed			
78	919		FS 120	FS 04b (2)	before moving to the next commodity and/or field			
78	920		FS 12d	FS 04c				
				FS 04c (1)	Did the Daily inspection of harvest equipment, tools and utensils that was completed prior to beginning harvest address			
				F3 040 (1)	cleaning and sanitation or change in conditions since prior sanitation?			
78	921		FS 12e	FS 04c (2)	Did the inspection indicate the equipment do not need to be rinsed and sanitized Equipment rinsing and sanitizing prior to			
70	921		F3 126	( )	peginning daily narvest it inspection indicates to do so			
				FS 04c (3)	If no, was the equipment rinsed and sanitized prior to beginning daily harvest?			
81	1030		FS 12f	FS 04d	Chemical usage and record keeping (e.g. soap, detergent, sanitizer, etc.)			
78	926		FS 12g	FS 04e	Sanitation Procedures Verification			
80	970		FS 12h	FS 04f	Proper cleaning and sanitation for changes in conditions (e.g. weather, pest activity, contact with non-covered PSR produce,			
80	970		F3 1211	F3 041	etc.)			
78	921	FS 13			Do records indicate that food contact surfaces on harvest equipment was rinsed and sanitized prior to harvest if			
70	921	F3 13			needed?			
78	901	FS 14		FS04b(1)	Do records indicate food contact surfaces on harvest equipment, tools and utensils are cleaned and sanitized at the			
70	901	F3 14		` '	end of each daily harvest			
78 & 79	918 & 949	FS 15		FS 05	Is there an SOP for non-food-contact surfaces of harvest equipment and tools?			
					Does the SOP address the following:			
79	949-958		FS 15a	FS 05a				
79	949-958		FS 15b					
81	1030		FS 15c					
79	949		FS 15d	FS 05d				
78	920		FS 15e	FS 05e	Daily inspection of non-food contact surfaces and equipment			
79	939	FS 16			Do records indicate that non-food contact surfaces on harvest equipment are cleaned according to SOP?			
79	933	FS 17		FS 06	Is there an SOP for sanitary operation of harvest equipment?			
					Does the SOP address the following:			
79	934		FS 17a	FS 06a				
79	935		FS 17b		Inoperative water sprays			
79	936		FS 17c		Exclusion of foreign objects (glass, plastic, metal and other debris)?			
				FS 06b				
84	1142		FS 17d	FS 06c				
					Does the SOP for Sanitary Operation of Harvest Equipment, address Remedial actions?			
			FS 17e		Documention logs for equipment cleaning and sanitation			
79	928	FS 18		FS 07	Is there an SOP for water tanks and equipment used for hydration?			
80	967	FS 19		FS 08	Is there an SOP/SSOP for handling and storage of harvest containers and packaging materials product containers?			
				FS 08h	Does the SOP address the following:			
80	969		FS 19a	FS 08a				
80	969		FS 19a	FS 08a	Daily inspection of containers			

	Field Sanitation Harvest Equipment, Packing Materials and Buildings							
80	972	FS 19b	FS 08b	Overnight storage				
80	973	FS 19c	FS 08c	Prohibit contact with the ground or soil				
80	974	FS 19d	FS 08d	Container assembly (RPC, fiber bin, plastic bin, etc.)				
80	975	FS 19e	FS 08e	Damaged containers				
80	976	FS 19f	FS 08f	Use of containers only as intended				
79	949	FS 19g		1 1				
81	1030	FS 19h	FS 08i	Chemical usage and record keeping (e.g. soap, detergent, <u>sanitizer</u> etc.)				
80	970	FS 19i	FS 09	Proper cleaning and sanitation for changes in conditions (e.g. weather, pest activity, contact with non-covered PSR produce, etc.)				
79	961	FS 20	FS 10	Are packing materials or containers cleanable or designed for single use?				
76	955	FS 21	FS 11	Are reusable packing materials or containers cleaned and sanitized or fitted with a clean liner?				
81	1030	FS 22	FS 12	Is there an SOP for chemical storage and chemical content labeling				
79	944	FS 23	FS 12a	Are instruments or controls used to measure, regulate, or record temperature, hydrogen ion concentration, pH, sanitizer concentration or other conditions:				
79	946	FS 23a	FS 12b	Accurate and precise as necessary and appropriate for their intended use?				
79	947	FS 23b	FS 12c	Adequately maintained?				
79	948	FS 23c	FS 13	Adequate in number for their intended use?				
80	977	FS 24	FS 13a	Are there any buildings used to store packing material?				
80	985	FS 24a	FS 13b	Does the building have proper drainage and protection from condensate or drips to keep food-contact surfaces from getting wet?				
80	982	FS 24b	FS 13b	Are packaging materials and other food-contact surfaces kept separate from contamination sources by partition, time, location, enclosed system, or other effective means?				
	Transportation							
93	1341	TR 01	TR 01	Is there an inspection program for equipment and shipping containers used to transport leafy greens from the farm and on the farm?				
93	1341	TR 01a	TR 01a	Are shipping units and equipment used to transport leafy greens on the farm or from the farm to a cooling, packing, or processing facility part of an inspection program?				
93	1344	TR 01b	TR 01b	Is the condition of shipping units and equipment checked for cleanliness before being used to ship leafy greens?				

		Field Observations
Water Use		
FO WU 01   F	FO WU 01	Are all active and/or inactive water sources and distribution system recorded in the agricultural water assessment?
		From visual inspection, there is no evidence that the water sources and distribution systems may pose a contamination risk
FO WU 02	FO WU 02	(damage, inadequately maintained, evidence of animal activity, environmental sources of contamination, connection with effluent
		systems)?
FO WU 03 F	FO WU 03	No other observations of improper use of water
Soil Amendments		
FO SA 01 F	FO SA 01	No evidence of undocumented use of soil amendments and/or crop inputs?
FO SA 02 F	FO SA 02	No evidence of improperly applied soil amendments and/or crop inputs?
FO SA 03 F	FO SA 03	No evidence of improperly stored soil amendments and/or crop inputs?
FO SA 04 F	FO SA 04	No other observations of improper use of soil amendments and/or crop inputs?
Environmental Factors		
FO EA 01 F	FO EA 01	No evidence of fecal contamination in the production area?
FO EA 02 F	FO EA 02	No evidence of animal intrusion or potential risk of intrusion in the production area?
FO EA 03 F	FO EA 03	No evidence of non-compliance with distances as outlined in the Environmental Assessment?
FO EA 04 F	FO EA 04	No evidence that remedial actions have not been implemented?
FO EA 05	FO EA 05	No other observations of environmental risk factors.
Field Sanitation		
FO FS 01 F	FO FS 01	Are there visitor policies/procedures in place?
FO FS 02 F	FO FS 02	No evidence of excessive non-vegetative debris in the field?
	FO FS 03	Are chemical containers labeled as to its contents?
FO FS 04 F	FO FS 04	Are chemicals stored per SOP?
FO FS 05	FO FS 05	No evidence of leaks and spills on equipment in the field?
FO FS 06 F	FO FS 06	No evidence of equipment is not maintained and operational?
		No evidence of the vice of forms and the time vice of the content with a startial content of a vice of the content of the cont
FO FS 07 F		No evidence of the use of farm equipment that may have come in contact with potential contaminants (e.g. uncovered products as outlined in the PSR, raw manure, partially treated compost, waters of unknown quality, wildlife or domestic animals)?
		as outlined in the PSK, raw manure, partially freated compost, waters of driknown quality, wildline of domestic animals):
FO FS 08 F	FO FS 08	No evidence of potential cross-contamination of product? (i.e. cut surface of product and contact with the ground/soil)
	FO FS 09	No evidence of other potential cross-contamination of food contact surfaces on harvest equipment or tools
FO FS 10 F	FO FS 10	No evidence of potential cross-contamination of containers and packing materials
FO FS 11 F	FO FS 11	No other evidence of improper field sanitation.
FO FS 12	FO WP 01	No employees eating, drinking (except water), chewing tobacco or smoking in crop production actively harvested areas or
		outside of designated area outlined in the SOP?
FO FS 13 F	FO WP 02	No evidence that sanitary facilities are not routinely clean and operational?
FO FS 14 F	FO WP 03	No evidence that sanitary facilities are not adequately stocked with disposable supplies?
FO FS 15	FO WP 04	All employees observed to have washed their hands after; restroom usage, work breaks or any returning to work occasion?
FO FS 16 F	FO WP 05	No evidence that worker hygiene rules have been violated?
	FO WP 06	No improperly stored personal items observed in the field?
FO FS 18 F	FO WP 07	No evidence that workers practices for further processing have been violated?
FO FS 19 F	FO WP 08	No employees with uncovered wounds, boils or cuts?
FO FS 20 F	FO WP 09	No employees with symptoms of infection or contagious disease?
FO FS 21 F	FO WP 10	No other observations of improper work practices.