|                        |                         |                     |            | General Requirements  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|---|
| Page #                 | Line #                  | New Line<br>Numbers | Question # | Question  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>101</del>          |                     | GR 01      | Is a written Leafy Greens Compliance Plan which specifically addresses the Best Practices of the LGMA available for review?   |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>102</del>          |                     | GR 02      | Does it specifically address best practices for water, soil amendments and crop inputs, environmental factors, work practices, and field sanitation?  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>104</del>          |                     | GR 03      | Is an up to date producers list with contact and location information available for review?   |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>105</del>          |                     | GR 04      | Does the Shipper have a traceability process?   |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>105</del>          |                     | GR 04a     | Does it enable identification of immediate non-transporter source?  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>105</del>          |                     | GR 04b     | Does it enable identification of immediate non-transporter subsequent recipient?  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>108</del>          |                     | GR 05      | Has the Shipper designated someone to implement and oversee the food safety program?  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>108</del>          |                     | GR 05a     | Is the name of the individual available?  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>108</del>          |                     | GR 05b     | Is 24/7 contact information for the individual available?   |
|                        |                         |                     |            | Records   |
| <del>22</del>          | <del>133</del>          |                     | RE 01      | Were all records required by the Leafy Greens Compliance Plan readily available and accessible for inspection during the  |
|                        |                         |                     |            | Do they include (as applicable):  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>118</del>          |                     | RE 01a     | Farm name and location  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>120</del>          |                     | RE 01b     | Actual values and observations obtained during monitoring   |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>121</del>          |                     | RE 01c     | An adequate description of the leafy green product  |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>123</del>          |                     | RE 01d     | Growing area location (i.e. production location including block and/or lot)   |
| <del>21</del>          | <del>124</del>          |                     | RE 01e     | Date and time of the activity being documented  |
| <del>22</del>          | <del>126</del>          |                     | RE 02      | Do records indicate they were created at the time the activity was performed?   |
| <del>22</del>          | <del>128</del>          |                     | RE 03      | Were the records signed and dated by the person performing the documented activity?   |
| <del>21 &amp; 22</del> | <del>115 &amp;130</del> |                     | RE 04      | Did the Shipper assign/designate and document the supervisor/responsible party for records review and sign off?   |
|                        |                         | RE 04               |            | Have the following records been reviewed, signed and dated by a supervisor or responsible party:  |
| <del>29</del>          | <del>347</del>          |                     | RE 04a     | Water records must be reviewed and signed within a week (Records include: ag water microbiological test results, ag water assessments, water treatment monitoring records and records of corrective actions for test that do not meet the water quality criteria) |
|                        |                         |                     | RE 04b     | On-Farm Soil Amendments within a week (Records include: process control monitoring for on-farm produced soil amendments)  |
| <del>23</del>          | <del>204</del>          |                     | RE 04c     | Training documentation for required training must be reviewed and signed within a reasonable timeframe per SOP.   |
| <del>78</del>          | 910                     |                     | RE 04d     | Harvest equipment, tools, containers, packing material, buildings (if applicable packing facilities) cleaning and sanitation records in a reasonable timeframe per SOP (i.e. keep a record of the date and method of cleaning and sanitizing equipment)           |
| <del>22</del>          | <del>149</del>          |                     | RE 05      | Do SOPs require documentation and records to be kept for 2 years?   |

|                   | Personnel Qualifications and Training |        |                   |   |  |  |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| 22                | <del>163</del>                        |        | PE 01             | Did personnel receive training at hire and at least annually thereafter?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        |                   | Does the training provided to all personnel who work with leafy greens or supervise those who do include:   |  |  |
| 23                | <del>174</del>                        |        | PE 01a            | The principles of food hygiene and safety, including recognition of employee health conditions for illness?   |  |  |
| <del>82</del>     | <del>1063</del>                       |        | PE 01b            | Training and education on infectious illnesses that can be asymptomatic (e.g. cyclosporiasis, hepatitis, salmonellosis, norovirus).                         |  |  |
| 23                | <del>175</del>                        |        |                   | The importance of health and personal hygiene?  |  |  |
| 23                | <del>179</del>                        |        | PE 01d            | The standards established in these best practices that are applicable to the employee's job responsibilities?   |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        |                   | Do all harvest personnel receive additional training in:  |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>183</del>                        |        | PE 01e            | Recognizing leafy greens that may be contaminated and therefore not be harvested? (This includes the potential of cut product to contact the ground/soil.)  |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>185</del>                        |        | PE 01f            | Inspecting product containers, harvest equipment, and packaging materials to ensure they are working properly and do not pose a product contamination risk? |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>188</del>                        |        | PE 01g            | How to correct problems with product containers, harvest equipment, and packaging materials or report problems to supervisors?                              |  |  |
| 23                | <del>191</del>                        |        | PE 02             | Are personnel conducting environmental hazard and risk assessments trained?   |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>200</del>                        |        | PE 03             | Has a food safety professional / representative for each farm completed the Produce Safety Alliance, "Grower Training" or                                   |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>200</del>                        |        | PE 03a            | Grower  |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>200</del>                        |        | PE 03b            | Harvester   |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>200</del>                        |        | PE 03c            | Cooler/Holder   |  |  |
| <del>23</del>     | <del>203</del>                        |        | PE 04             | Are there records of training events that include the training date, topics covered, and trainee's name?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        |                   | Environmental Assessments   |  |  |
| Pre-Seasor        | n Assessment                          |        |                   |   |  |  |
| <b>Animal Act</b> | ivity                                 |        |                   |   |  |  |
| 23                | <del>213</del>                        |        | EA 01             | Was a Pre-Season Assessment conducted prior to the first seasonal planting?   |  |  |
| 90-92             | Figure 9<br>& Table 6                 |        | EA 02             | Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion or the potential risk of intrusion?                         |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        |                   | If EA 02 is answered "NO" then EA 03 - EA 06 will drop down.  |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        | EA 03             | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed by Food Safety professional?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        | EA 04             | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       | EA 04a |                   | If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?  |  |  |
| <del>90-92</del>  | Figure 9<br>& Table 6                 |        | <del>EA 05</del>  | Is there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       |        |                   | If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       | EA 05  | EA 06             | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?  |  |  |
|                   |                                       | EA 05a | <del>EA 06a</del> | If "YES" were corrective actions formulated?  |  |  |

| <b>Animal Acti</b> | nimal Activity              |       |     |                 |                 |   |  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|---|--|
| <del>90-92</del>   | Figure 9<br>& Table 6       | EA    | )5b | EA              | <del>06b</del>  | If "YES" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?  |  |
| <del>90-93</del>   | Figure 9<br>& Table 6       | EA    | 05c | EA              | <del>06c</del>  | If "YES" are you periodically monitoring the effectiveness of any corrective actions?   |  |
| Adjacent ar        | djacent and Nearby Land Use |       |     |                 |                 |   |  |
| <del>24</del>      | <del>230</del>              | EA 06 | E   | A 07            |                 | Was a detailed risk assessment conducted for adjacent and nearby land use?  |  |
|                    |                             |       |     |                 |                 | If present, Were the risk factors for the following evaluated for risk level:   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA 07 | E   | A 08            |                 | Animal operations (i.e. AFO, CAFO, Grazing Lands, and Domestic Animals/Hobby Farms)   |  |
| Pre-Season         | Assessment                  |       |     |                 |                 |   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | )7a | EA-             | <del>08a</del>  | If "Yes" were the current Metrics met?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | )7b | <del>EA (</del> | <del>08b</del>  | If "NO" are mitigation factors implemented and documented?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA 08 | E   | A 09            |                 | Compost / Soil Amendments Operations  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | )8a | EA (            | 0 <del>9a</del> | If "Yes" were the current Metrics met?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 08b | EA-(            | 0 <del>9b</del> | If "NO" are mitigation factors implemented and documented?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA 09 | E   | <del>A 10</del> |                 | Non-leafy greens crops - Was the distance evaluated based on risk and mitigation factors?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | )9a | <del>EA :</del> | <del>10a</del>  | If "Yes" were Table 0 risk factors evaluated?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | )9b | EA:             | <del>10b</del>  | If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA 10 | E   | A 11            |                 | Water Source and Systems - Was the distance evaluated based on risk and mitigation factors?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 10a | EA              | <del>11a</del>  | Wellhead proximate to Untreated Manure?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 10b | <del>EA</del>   | 11b             | If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 10c | EA              | <del>11c</del>  | Surface Water proximate to Untreated Manure?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 10d | <del>EA</del>   | <del>11d</del>  | If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 10e | <del>EA :</del> | <del>11e</del>  | Water Storage and conveyance systems proximate to conditions that pose a food safety risk?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 10f | EA              | 11f             | If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA 11 | E   | A 12            |                 | Urban Settings  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 11a | EA:             | <del>12a</del>  | If "Yes" were the current Metrics met?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 11b | EA:             | <del>12b</del>  | If "NO" are mitigation factors implemented and documented?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA 12 | E   | A 13            |                 | Other environmental considerations - Was the distance evaluated based on risk and mitigation factors?   |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 12a | EΛ              | <del>13a</del>  | Were Table 0 risk factors evaluated?  |  |
| <del>26-27</del>   | <del>Table 0</del>          | EA    | 12b | <del>EA :</del> | <del>13b</del>  | If "Yes" are mitigation measures for the risks in place and documented?   |  |
| <del>25</del>      | <del>239-242</del>          | EA 13 | E   | EA 14           |                 | Were additional risk assessments required after the initial pre-season assessment was conducted during the growing of the crop?                       |  |
| 24                 | <del>225-228</del>          | EA    | 13a | <del>EA</del>   | <del>14a</del>  | Were potential environmental sources of contaminants in or near production location evaluated after a change in weather conditions or weather events? |  |
| <del>24</del>      | <del>239-242</del>          | EA    | 13b | EA:             |                 | Were there adjacent and nearby land use that resulted in a possible higher risk situation which required an additional risk assessment?               |  |
| <del>24</del>      | <del>239-242</del>          |       |     | EA              | <del>14c</del>  | If "Yes" were mitigation factors implemented and documented?  |  |

| Assessme                               | nt of CAFO's       |                   |            |  |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| <del>24</del>                          | 244                | EA 14             | EA 15      | Is the adjacent and nearby land area free from concentrated animal feeding operations(CAFO)?   |
|  |                    |                   |            | If EA 15 is answered "NO" then EA 16 or EA 17 will drop down.  |
| <del>24-25</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 15             | EA 16      | Was the adjacent and nearby land area free from concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFO) containing 1,000-80,000 animals within 1200' of the crop edge? |
| <del>24-26</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 15a            | EA 16a     | If "NO" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 1200' recommendation should be increased or modified?        |
| <del>24-27</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 15b            | EA 16b     | If "NO" are mitigation measures in place and documented?   |
| <del>24-28</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 150            | EA 16c     | If "NO" was a rigorous pre-season assessment completed to address the impact of the CAFO?  |
| <del>24-29</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 16             | EA 17      | Was the adjacent and nearby land area free from concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFO) containing over 80,000 animals within 1 mile of the crop edge? |
| <del>24-30</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 16a            |            | If "NO" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 1 mile recommendation should be increased or modified?       |
| <del>24-31</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 16b            |            | If "NO" are mitigation measures in place and documented?   |
| <del>24-32</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 160            | EA 17c     | If "NO" was a rigorous pre-season assessment completed to address the impact of the CAFO?  |
|  |                    |                   |            | If EA 15 is answered "NO" then EA 17d-EA 17f will drop down.   |
|  |                    |                   |            | Did it address the following:  |
| <del>24-35</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 16d            |            | Information on the CAFO's Best Management Practices?   |
| <del>24-36</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 16e            |            | Number of animals within the CAFO?   |
| <del>24-37</del>                       | <del>246-254</del> | EA 16             |            | Water source and distribution system for the production location proximate to the CAFO? (e.g. Appendix A)  |
| Assessme                               | nt of Historical L | and use and Flood | ling       |  |
|  |                    | EA 17             | EA 18      | Are production blocks free from all of the following:  |
|  |                    | EA 17a            |            | History of flooding within the last 60 days  |
| <del>25</del>                          | <del>264-266</del> | EA 17b            |            | History of grazing on the crop land within the last year   |
| <del>23</del>                          | 204-200            | EA 170            | EA 18c     | History of hazardous activity including but not limited to CAFO, municipal waste, toxic waste, landfill, etc.?   |
|  |                    |                   |            | EA 18a - EA 18c if any of these are answered "NO" then EA 18c (1) will drop down   |
|  |                    | EA 17c (1)        | EA 18c (1) | Were specific actions implemented and documented to mitigate the issue(s)?   |
| Pre-Harves                             | st Assessment      |                   |            |  |
| <del>24</del>                          | <del>213</del>     | EA 18             | EA 19      | Was a Pre-Harvest Assessment conducted within 7 days for each harvested lot?   |
|  |                    |                   |            | Did the assessment address the following:  |
|  |                    | EA 18a            | EA19a      | Intrusion by animals   |
|  |                    | EA 18b            | EA19b      | Flooding   |
| <del>26-27; 90-</del>                  | Table 0.           | EA 180            | EA19c      | Potential contamination materials  |
| <del>20-27, 90-</del><br><del>92</del> | Table 6, Figure 9  | EA 18d            | EA19d      | Condition of water source and distribution system  |
| <i>32</i>                              | . abic o, riguies  | EA 18e            | EA19e      | Worker hygiene and sanitary facilities   |
|  |                    | EA 18             | EA19f      | Environmental sources of contaminants after a Change in weather conditions or weather events since the last assessment?                                      |
| 24                                     | 239-242            | EA 18g            | EA10a      | Adjacent and nearby land use remains unchanged since the pre-season assessment was conducted?  |

| Pre-Harves           | t Assessment -       | Animal Intrusion    |        |  |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|--|
|                      |                      | EA 19               | EA 20  | Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion or the potential risk of           |
|                      |                      |                     |        | If EA 20 is answered "NO" then EA 20a - EA 20g will drop down.   |
|                      |                      | EA19a               | EA 20a | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed by food safety professional or food safety personnel?                |
|                      |                      | EA19b               |        | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?   |
| <del>90-92</del>     | Figure 9             | EA19c               | EA 20c | Is there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?   |
|                      | & Table 6            | EA19d               | EA 20d | If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?   |
|                      |                      | EA19e               | EA 20e | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?   |
|                      |                      | EA19f               | EA 20f | If "YES" were corrective actions carried out per the LGMA requirements?  |
|                      |                      | EA19g               | EA 20g | If "YES" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?   |
| Preharvest           | Assessment - I       | Unusual or Other Ev | vents  |  |
| <del>26-27; 85</del> | Table 0              | EA 20               | EA 21  | Did the pre-harvest ranch assessment indicate that fields were flooded during the crop cycle?                                      |
| 86                   | & Table 5            |                     |        | If EA 21 is answered "YES" then EA 21a - EA 21d will drop down   |
|                      | <del>Q Tuble 3</del> | EA 20a              | EA 21a | If production blocks were flooded is there documentation to indicate the extent of the flooding and the area of the crop impacted? |
| <del>26-27; 85</del> | Table 0              | EA 20b              | EA 21b | Was the product left un-harvested?   |
| <del>20-27, 83</del> | & Table 5            | EA 20c              | EA 21c | If product was harvested, was a 30' (min) "no harvest" buffer from the high water mark established?                                |
| 00                   | <del>a rabic s</del> | EA 20d              | EA 21d | Are these remedial activities documented?  |
|                      |                      |                     |        | Does the preharvest assessment indicate the production area was free from any other type of potential contamination? (i.e.         |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21               |        | potential contamination materials, condition of water source and distribution system, unexpected adjacent land activity            |
|                      |                      |                     |        | that will pose a risk to food safety, worker hygiene and sanitary facilities)?   |
|                      |                      |                     |        | If EA 22 is answered "NO" then EA 22a - EA 22h will drop down  |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21a              | EA 22a | Was a food safety assessment completed?  |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21b              | EA 22b | Is the individual who conducted the assessment identified?   |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21c              | EA 22c | Is the date of the assessment documented?  |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21d              | EA 22d | Were remedial actions formulated?  |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21e              | EA 22e | Was the field harvested?   |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21f              | EA 22f | Is there documentation to show the remedial actions were followed?   |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21g              | EA 22g | Did the remedial action include creation of "no harvest" buffer or separation zones around the potentially contaminated area(s)?   |
| <del>91-92</del>     | <del>Table 6</del>   | EA 21h              | EA 22h | Is documentation which fully delineates the potential contamination available for review?  |

| Assessmer              | nt of Produce Fie     | eld     |        |                   |   |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|-------------------|---|
| 0.1                    | 225.222               | E4.00   |        | E4.00             | If the preharvest assessment indicates the production area had a changes in weather condition or weather events during  |
| <del>24</del>          | <del>225-228</del>    | EA 22   |        | EA 23             | the production period are the following addressed:  |
| <del>24</del>          | <del>225-228</del>    |         | EA 22a | EA 23a            | Potential impact on the crop or operations?   |
| <del>24</del>          | <del>225-228</del>    |         | EA 22b | EA 23b            | If the crop or operations were impacted were corrective actions carried out and documented according to Company SOP?  |
| <del>24</del>          | <del>225-228</del>    |         | EA 22c | EA 23c            | Was the production area evaluated for any discharge events or other potential impact on the crop or operations from a CAFO?   |
| <del>24</del>          | <del>225-228</del>    |         | EA 22d | EA 23d            | If the crop or operations were impacted by a discharge event were corrective actions carried out according to Company SOP?  |
| Pre-Harves             | t Product Testin      | g       |        |                   |   |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>286</del>        | EA 23   |        | EA 24             | Is there an SOP to address pre-harvest testing?   |
|                        |                       |         |        |                   | Does your SOP include the following:  |
| 28                     | <del>288</del>        |         | EA 23a | EA 24a            | All lettuce and leafy green commodities. If testing programs differ by commodity, outline in the SOP.   |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>289</del>        |         | EA 23b | EA 24b            | Sampling timeline - An interval closer to estimated harvest date is considered a best practice.   |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>290</del>        |         | EA 23c | EA 24c            | Target organisms - Test for E. coli O157:H7, STEC/EHEC, and Salmonella.   |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>291</del>        |         | EA 23d | EA 24d            | Sampling lot size (Note: Sampling lot size may decrease when risk is elevated.)   |
| 28                     | <del>292</del>        |         | EA 23e | EA 24e            | Sample size   |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>293</del>        |         | EA 23f | EA 24f            | Number of grabs (Note: More individual grabs per lot improves the probability of contamination detection.)  |
| 28                     | <del>294-296</del>    |         | EA 23g | <del>ΕΛ 24g</del> | Sampling method   |
|                        |                       |         | EA 23h | EA 24h            | Additional risk considerations that impact sampling   |
|                        |                       |         | EA 23i | EA 24i            | A test and hold policy  |
|                        |                       |         | EA 23j | EA 24i            | Corrective measures to be taken when positive samples are detected  |
|                        |                       |         | EA 23k | EA 24k            | How testing records are kept and the review policy for these records  |
| 28                     | <del>285</del>        | EA 24   |        | EA 25             | Was pre-harvest testing conducted?  |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>302</del>        | EA 25   |        | EA 26             | Was a trained sampler used?   |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>304</del>        | EA 26   |        | EA 27             | If the tests were positive for E. coli O157:H7, STEC/EHEC, and Salmonella, do records show that the lot sampled was not harvested?  |
| <del>28</del>          | <del>304-306</del>    |         | EA 27a | EA 27a            | Was a further investigation or a root cause analysis done? (NOTE: For Informational Purposes Only)  |
|                        |                       |         |        |                   | Water Use   |
|                        | gricultural Water     | Manager |        |                   |   |
| <del>29</del>          | <del>330</del>        |         |        | WU 01             | Was an Agricultural Water Assessment completed prior to use for each agricultural water system?   |
| <del>29</del>          | <del>331</del>        |         |        | WU 01a            | Is an agricultural water system description (or other documentation) indicating the source(s) of water and distribution system(s) available for review?                                 |
| <del>29</del>          |                       |         |        |                   | For irrigation systems:   |
| <del>29</del>          | <del>334</del>        |         |        | WU 01a (1)        | Does the description (or other documentation) identify permanent above ground fixtures such that they can be located in the field?  |
| <del>29</del>          | 333                   |         |        | WU 01a (2)        | Does the map (or other documentation) identify the flow of the water system(s) and production blocks that may be served by the water source(s)?   |
| <del>29</del>          | <del>345</del>        |         |        | WU 01a (3)        | Are effluent systems that convey untreated human or animal wastes separated from irrigation water systems?  |
|                        |                       |         |        | ,                 | For All Water Systems and Uses:   |
| <del>30</del>          | <del>364</del>        |         |        | WU 01b            | Wee the evetem including water course, water storage and water conveyance, evaluated to determined the evetem type(a) (Type A   |
| <del>31 &amp; 38</del> | Table 1 & 419-<br>446 |         |        | WU 01c            | Has the operation established how and when water will be suitably applied for specific uses? (e.g. irrigation, chemical/nutrient application, dust abatement, equipment cleaning, etc.) |

| Managing /    | lanaging Agricultural Water Storage and Conveyance Systems |   |   |  |  |
|---------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| <del>34</del> | <del>495</del>   | WU 02                                       | Has an SOP been created for maintenance of ancillary equipment, water storage and conveyance?   |  |  |
|               |  |   | Does the SOP include the following:   |  |  |
| 34            | <del>497</del>   | WU UZa                                      | Regularly scheduled visual inspections to ensure that it is in good working order and does not pose a contamination risk to the water system?                                       |  |  |
| 34            | <del>500</del>   | I VVII (1/2D)                               | Does the SOP include maintaining water quality by removal of debris, weeds, algae, tule, trash, and sediment within the producer's control?   |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>502</del>   | WU 02c                                      | Controls for pest access in place and corrective actions outlined if pest infestation occurs?   |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>506</del>   | WU 02d                                      | Controls identified for the prevention of run-off into water storage and conveyance systems?  |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>508</del>   | WU 02e                                      | Procedures to ensure standing water does not pose a contamination in place?   |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>509</del>   | WU 02f                                      | Management of agricultural water system components used to prepare and apply crop inputs to ensure these activities and equipment used are not a source of contamination?           |  |  |
| 34            | <del>511</del>   | WU 02g                                      | Practices to ensure water used in aerial applications within the 21 days-to-scheduled harvest are Type A or B→A water systems?  |  |  |
| 34            | <del>513</del>   | WU 02g (1)                                  | Holding tanks and equipment mounted application tanks, manifolds, boom lines and nozzles are properly maintained and cleaned?   |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>515</del>   | WU 02g (2)                                  | Water treatment chemistry or approach is compatible with the agricultural chemicals being applied?  |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>516</del>   | VV 0211                                     | conteminated run off, flooding/2  |  |  |
| <del>34</del> | <del>521</del>   | WU 03                                       | Were corrective measures, cleaning activities, and maintenance documented?  |  |  |
| Overhead (    | Chemical Applica   | ations <u>prior</u> to 21 Days of Scheduled | d Harvest   |  |  |
|               |  | WU 04                                       | Were Overhead Chemical Applications utilized prior to 21 Days of Scheduled Harvest?   |  |  |
| <del>30</del> | <del>359</del>   | Wu 04a                                      | If "yes", did the water used for the applications meet the Baseline Microbial Assessment for Type A source water quality criteria?  |  |  |
| <del>30</del> | <del>363</del>   | Wu 04b                                      | If " <u>yes</u> ", did the water used for the applications meet irrigation Type B water quality criteria as outlined in Table 2E (Routine Verification of Microbial Water Quality)? |  |  |
| Overhead (    | Chemical Applica   | ations within 21 Days of Scheduled          | Harvest   |  |  |
| <del>35</del> | <del>56</del> 4  | WU 05                                       | Has an SOP been created for all of the parts of the agricultural water system used in overhead chemical application?  |  |  |
|               |  |   | The SOP for overhead applications must address the following:   |  |  |
| <del>35</del> | <del>566</del>   |   | Water used within 21 days requirement to meet Type A and/or B→A water quality criteria  |  |  |
| 35            | <del>568</del>   | WU 05b                                      | Holding tanks, equipment mounted application tanks, manifolds, boom lines and nozzles are properly maintained and cleaned?  |  |  |
| 35            | <del>571</del>   | WU 05c                                      | Water treatment chemistry or approach is compatible with the agricultural chemicals being applied?  |  |  |

| Overhead (       | Chemical Application | ations <u>within</u> 21 Days of Scheduled | Harvest  |
|------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| <del>35</del>    | <del>573</del>       | WU 05d                                    | Control pest access to equipment during storage and staging  |
| <del>35-36</del> | <del>576-581</del>   | WU 05e                                    | corrective action procedures for non-compliance scenarios, includes treatment failure, contaminated source water, pest concerns,   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>582</del>       | WU 06                                     | Was there documentation of corrective measures, including cleaning activities and maintenance?   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>583</del>       | WU 07                                     | Is there an SOP to address each unique application process to treat water?   |
|                  |                      |   | The SOP must address the following:  Use initial water treatment assessment to establish treatment parameters, monitoring to ensure consistent delivery and  |
| <del>35</del>    | <del>542</del>       | WU 07a                                    | effectiveness (Note: Vey must recetablish treatment parameters if a material change to the evetem accura)  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>589</del>       | WU 07b                                    | Step-by- step instructions to ensure the water treatment is correctly implemented  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>590</del>       | WU 07c                                    | Location of water sources  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>591</del>       | WU 07d                                    | Name, and suggested supplies needed  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>592</del>       | WU 07e                                    | Sanitizer used and quantity used   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>593</del>       | WU 07f                                    | Critical limits and operational limits   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>594</del>       | WU 07g                                    | Water sampling location  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>595</del>       | WU 07h                                    | Corrective actions if critical limits are not met  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>596</del>       | WU 07i                                    | Required records   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>598</del>       | WU 08                                     | If Type A water is used, are records available that demonstrate the water used for chemical application meets the Baseline Microbial Assessment Criteria for Type A source water quality criteria? |
| <del>25</del>    | <del>566</del>       | WU 09                                     | Was Type B→A water used for Overhead Chemical Applications within 21 Days of Scheduled Harvest?  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>597</del>       | WU 09a                                    | Was a baseline for treated water done for each overhead application process (before the 21 day to-scheduled-harvest-period begins)?  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>598</del>       | WU 09a (1)                                | Were there a minimum of three 100 mL samples taken for each overhead application process from different treated water batches.   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>602</del>       |   | Did all samples meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli?   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>604</del>       | WU 09b                                    | Was a minimum of one 100 mL sample taken for <b>routine testing</b> done monthly from a representative <u>routinely treated water batch</u> or at the next application event?                      |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>606</del>       | WU 09b (1)                                | Did all samples meet the acceptance criteria of non-detectable generic E. coli?  |
|                  |                      |   | WU 09b (1) answered "NO" then WU 09b (2) - WU 09b (4) will drop down for Corrective Action   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>610</del>       | WU 09b (2)                                | Was your grower/producer notified?   |
| <del>36</del>    | 609                  | WU 09b (3)                                | Was a root cause analysis done to correct the concern?   |

| Overhead         | Chemical Applica  | tions within 21 Days of Scheduled   | Harvest   |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <del>36</del>    | <del>611</del>    |                                     | If water used within 21 days exceeds the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>614</del>    |                                     | Was <b>ongoing monitoring</b> of the treated water performed at each application event to verify treatment parameters established during the initial set up were being followed?  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>616</del>    | WU 09c (1)                          | Do records show the water treatment parameters were met?  |
|                  |                   |                                     | WU 09c (1) answered "NO" then WU 09c (2) - WU 09c (5) will drop down for Corrective Action  |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>618</del>    | WU 09c (2)                          | Was a corrective action performed to ensure the water treatment was effective before using the water?   |
| <del>36</del>    | <del>620</del>    | WU 09c (3)                          | Was a microbiological sample taken to verify the treatment was effective and was documented for the corrective action?  |
| <del>37</del>    | <del>622</del>    | WU 09c (4)                          | If the microbiological sample did not meet the acceptance criteria of non-detectable generic E. coli was root cause analysis preformed to correct the treatment process? (Note: It is suggested that the grower/producer is notified)   |
| <del>37</del>    | <del>623</del>    |                                     | If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?   |
| Irrigation \     | Nater from TYPE   | B Agricultural Water (before and at | ter 21 Days to scheduled harvest)   |
| 38               | Table 2A          | WU 10                               | Was a source water test conducted, for each source of water, within 60 days of first use?   |
|                  |                   |                                     | Note: Reclaimed water sample results and analysis provided by the water district or provider may be utilized as records of water source testing for verification and validation audits.   |
| <del>38</del>    | Table 2A          | I WUTTUA                            | Are records available to demonstrate that water samples have been collected on a monthly basis, or at the next irrigation event if greater than monthly?  |
| <del>38</del>    | Table 2A          | WU 10b                              | Do records show that the water samples are taken no less than 18 hours apart?   |
| <del>38</del>    | Table 2A          | WU 10c                              | Is the geometric mean less than or equal to 126 MPN/100 mL?   |
| <del>38,55</del> | Table 2A &Table   | WU 10d                              | Are all individual samples less than or equal to 235MPN/100 mL for overhead application/irrigation 21 days prior to scheduled harvest or 576 MPN/100m ml for any type of water application, except overhead?  |
|                  |                   |                                     | WU 10c or WU10d answered "NO" then WU 10d (1) - WU 10d (8) will drop down   |
| <del>39</del>    | Figure 1          |                                     | Was the water distribution system use discontinued after the tests indicated the water source failed to meet the minimum water quality requirements?  |
| <del>38</del>    | Table 2A          |                                     | Was an agricultural water assessment completed on the water source and distribution system for possible contamination?  |
| <del>39</del>    | Figure 1          |                                     | Do records show that corrective actions were taken to eliminate the contamination sources?  |
|                  |                   |                                     | Was the system retested at the previous sampling point?   |
|                  | Table 2A & Figure | WU 10d (5)                          | Did the samples meet the acceptance criteria - average less than 126 MPN/100 mL (based on rolling geometric mean=5) and all individual samples less than or equal to 235MPN/100 mL for overhead application/irrigation 21 days prior to scheduled harvest or 576 MPN/100 mL for any type of water application, except overhead? |
| <del>38-39</del> | 1<br>1            | WU 10d (6)                          | Do records show the water system was not used while the water quality was inadequate?   |
|                  |                   | WU 10d (7)                          | If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?   |

| Irrigation V     | Nater from TYPE      |                                  | fter 21 Days to scheduled harvest)   |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
|                  |                      | WU 10d (8)                       | If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella, do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?   |
| <del>38-39</del> | Table 2A & Figure  1 | WU 11                            | Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?                                     |
|                  |                      | WU 12                            | The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli?  |
| Irrigation V     | Water from TYPE      | A Agriculture Water Systems Sour | ced from Public or Private Providers   |
| <del>40-42</del> | Table 2B             | WU 13                            | Is the TYPE A Irrigation water sourced from a public or private provider?  |
| <del>40-42</del> | <del>Table 2B</del>  | WU 14                            | Was the public or private provider's most current COA available for review (e.g. may be provided by municipalities, irrigation districts, or other water providers)?                                 |
|                  |                      | WU 15                            | Was an initial microbial water quality assessment performed at least one-time seasonally for each system (before the 21 day to-scheduled-harvest-period begins)?                                     |
|                  |                      | WU 15a                           | Were three 100 mL samples taken during one irrigation event for the initial microbial water quality assessment, and at least one taken from the end of the delivery system?                          |
|                  |                      | WU 15b                           | Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL? |
|                  |                      |                                  | If WU 15b answered "NO" then WU 15b (1) - WU 15b (4) will drop down  |
|                  |                      | WU 15b (1)                       | Was an agricultural water assessment and root cause analysis performed prior to the next irrigation event?   |
|                  | Table 2B & Figure    | WU 15b (2)                       | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?  |
| <del>40-43</del> | <del>2A</del>        | WU 15b (3)                       | Did the five samples meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?        |
|                  |                      | WU 15b (4)                       | If "NO" was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?   |
|                  |                      | WU 16                            | If a material change was made to a system was another initial microbial water quality assessment conducted?  |
|                  |                      | WU 16a                           | Were three 100 mL samples with at least one taken from the end of the delivery system taken during one irrigation event for the initial microbial water quality assessment?                          |
|                  |                      | WU 16b                           | Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL? |
|                  |                      |                                  | If WU 16b answered "NO" then WU 16b (1) - WU 16b (4) will drop down  |
|                  |                      | WU 16b (1)                       | Was an agricultural water assessment and root cause analysis performed prior to the next irrigation event?   |
| <del>40-43</del> | Table 2B & Figure    | WU 16b (2)                       | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?  |
| 10 15            | <del>2A</del>        | WU 16b (3)                       | Did the five samples meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?        |
|                  |                      | WU 16b (4)                       | If "NO" was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?   |
| <del>40-43</del> | Table 2B & Figure 2B | WU 17                            | Was a routine verification of microbial water quality performed on each distinct irrigation system at least once during the season?  |

| Irrigation V               | Vater from TYPE      | A Agriculture Water Systems Sour | ced from Public or Private Providers  |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
|                            |                      | WU 17a                           |   |
|                            |                      | WU 17b                           | Did'the three samples meet acceptance chienon - two must have no detectable generic E. coil and the one remaining sample must   |
|                            | Table 2B & Figure    |                                  | If WU 17b answered "NO" then WU 17b (1) - WU 17b (2) will drop down   |
| <del>40-43</del>           | <del>2B</del>        | WU 17b (1)                       | Was a Level 1 Assessment performed prior to the next irrigation event?  |
|                            |                      |                                  | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event with at least one taken from the end of the delivery system)?   |
| Irrigation V               | Vater from TYPE      | A Agriculture Water Systems Sour | ced from Public or Private Providers  |
|                            |                      |                                  | If WU 17b (2) answered "NO" then WU 17b (3) - WU 17b (5) will drop down   |
|                            |                      | WU 17b (3)                       | Was the agricultural water discontinued for Type A use?   |
| <del>40-43</del>           | Table 2B & Figure 2B |                                  | If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest? |
|                            |                      |                                  | If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?   |
| 4 <del>0-43</del>          | Table 2B & Figure    | I IVVII 18                       | Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?  |
| 40 43                      | <del>2B</del>        | WU 19                            | The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli?   |
| Irrigation V               | Vater from TYPE      | A Agricultural Water Systems Sou | rced from Private Wells or Regulated Tertiary Treated Recycled Water Supplies   |
|                            |                      | WU 20                            | For the purpose of baseline microbial assessment are records of analysis of source water available - historical water test data?  |
|                            |                      | WU 20a                           | Is a self-certification with historical water test data available that states the acceptance criteria has been met with at least one test taken within the last 6 months?   |
| <del>45-48</del>           | Table 2C & Figure    | WU 20b                           | If "NO" was the system tested two times, three 100 mL samples at the source, no less than seven days apart prior to using the water in the 21 days-to-scheduled harvest window?   |
|                            | 5,1                  | WU 20c                           | Did the sampling meet the acceptance criteria - five of the six total samples have no detectable generic E. coli and the remaining sample has no greater than 10 MPN in 100 mL?   |
|                            |                      |                                  | If WU 20c answered "NO" then WU 20c (1) - WU 20c (2) will drop down   |
|                            |                      |                                  | Was an agricultural water assessment and root cause analysis performed?   |
|                            |                      |                                  | Was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?  |
|                            |                      | WU 21                            | Was an initial microbial water quality assessment performed at least one-time seasonally for each system (before the 21 day to-scheduled-harvest-period begins)?  |
|                            | Table 2C & Figure    | WU 21a                           | Were three 100 mL samples from the end of the delivery system taken during one irrigation event for the initial microbial water quality assessment?   |
| 4 <del>5-47 &amp; 49</del> | 3B                   | WU 21b                           | Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples from end of delivery system with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of three 100 mL samples and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL? |
|                            |                      |                                  | 3 1 3   |
|                            |                      |                                  | If WU 21b answered "NO" then WU 21b (1) - WU 21b (4) will drop down   |

| Irrigation W              | rigation Water from TYPE A Agricultural Water Systems Sourced from Private Wells or Regulated Tertiary Treated Recycled Water Supplies |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                           | T. I.I. 20 8 5   | WU 21b (2)                             | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?  |  |  |
| <del>45-47 &amp; 49</del> | Table 2C & Figure 3B   |  | Did the five samples meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?                                    |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 21b (4)                             | If "NO" was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?   |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 22                                  | If a material change was made to a system was another initial microbial water quality assessment conducted?  |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 22a                                 | Were three 100 mL samples with at least one taken from the end of the delivery system taken during one irrigation event for the initial microbial water quality assessment?  |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 22b                                 | Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples from end of delivery system with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL? |  |  |
| <del>45-47 &amp; 49</del> | Table 2C & Figure  |  | If WU 22b answered "NO" then WU 22b (1) - WU 22b (4) will drop down  |  |  |
|                           | <del>3B</del>  | WU 22b (1)                             | Was an agricultural water assessment and root cause analysis performed prior to the next irrigation event?   |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 22b (2)                             | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?  |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Did sampling meet follow-up testing acceptance criterion - four of the five total samples must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?                  |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 22b (4)                             | If "NO" was the agricultural water system disqualified for Type A usage?   |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Was routine verification performed on each distinct irrigation system sampled and tested for generic E. coli at least once during the season with three 100 mL samples at the end of the delivery system?                        |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 23a                                 | Were three 100 mL samples taken during the routine verification from the end of the delivery system to evaluate acceptance criteria?   |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 23b                                 | Did the three samples meet acceptance criteria - two must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?   |  |  |
| 45 47 0 50                | Table 2C & Figure  |  | If WU 23b answered "NO" then WU 23b (1) - WU 23b (3) will drop down  |  |  |
| <del>45-47 &amp; 50</del> | <del>3C</del>  |  | Was a Level 1 Assessment performed prior to the next irrigation event?   |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 23b (2)                             | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?  |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 23b (3)                             | and remaining comple must have levels not greater than 10 MDN/100 ml 2   |  |  |
|                           |  | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | If WU 23b (3) answered "NO" then WU 23b (4) - WU 23b (6) will drop down  |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Was the agricultural water discontinued for Type A use?  If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC,                                       |  |  |
|                           |  | WU 23b (5)                             | including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest?  |  |  |
|                           |  |  | If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?  |  |  |
| 4 <del>5-47</del>         | Table 2C   | 10011 /4                               | Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?   |  |  |
| 13 17                     | Tubic 2C   | WU 24a                                 | The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli and total coliforms?  |  |  |

| Irrigation \  | Water from Treate        | d TYPE B->A Agri | cultural Water Sy | ystems  |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|
| <del>35</del> | 484                      |                  | WU 25             | Was an SOP established outlining irrigation treatment and process parameters for irrigation treatment systems based on the Initial Irrigation water Treatment Assessment?   |
| <del>35</del> |                          |                  | WU 26             | Was an Initial Irrigation Water Treatment Assessment performed to establish treatment process parameters prior to 21 days-to-scheduled harvest?   |
| <del>35</del> | <del>460</del>           |                  | WU 26a            | Was an initial microbial water quality assessment conducted prior to use and 21 days-to-scheduled harvest?  |
| <del>35</del> |                          |                  | WU 26b            | Was the assessment repeated if material changes occurred?   |
| <del>51</del> | Table 2D (D1)            |                  | WU 27             | Was routine verification of microbial water quality for each distinct system performed?   |
| <del>51</del> | <del>Table 2D</del>      |                  | <del>WU 27a</del> | If the system is used within the 21 days to harvest window is sampling (three 100 mL samples) conducted monthly?  |
| <del>51</del> | Table 2D                 | WU 27a           | <del>WU 27b</del> | If the system is used <b>within</b> the 21 days to harvest window, was the irrigation treatment system tested on at least two occasions separated by at least three days?   |
|               |                          | WU 27b           |                   | If the system remains unchanged was sampling then preformed monthly (three 100 mL samples) for verification?  |
| <del>51</del> | <del>Table 2D</del>      |                  | WU 27c            | Was at least one sample taken from the end of the delivery system?  |
| <del>51</del> | Table 2D                 |                  | WU 27d            | Did sampling meet the acceptance criteria - three 100 mL samples with non-detectable generic E. coli in two of the three 100 mL samples, and the remaining sample no greater than 10 MPN per 100 mL?                        |
|               |                          |                  |                   | If WU 27c or WU 27d answered "NO" then WU 27d (1) - WU 27d (3) will drop down   |
| <del>58</del> | Table 2F                 |                  | WU 27d (1)        | Was a Level 1 Assessment performed prior to the next irrigation event?  |
| <del>58</del> | Table 2F                 |                  | WU 27d (2)        | Was follow-up testing conducted (five 100 mL samples during the next irrigation event)?   |
| <del>58</del> | <del>Table 2F</del>      |                  | WU 27d (3)        | Did the five samples for the level one assessment meet acceptance criterion - four must have no detectable generic E. coli and the one remaining sample must have levels not greater than 10 MPN/100 mL?                    |
| <del>58</del> |                          |                  |                   | If WU 27d (3) answered "NO" then WU 27d (4) - WU 27d (6) will drop down   |
| <del>58</del> | <del>Table 2F</del>      |                  | WU 27d (4)        | Was the agricultural water discontinued for Type A use?   |
| <del>58</del> | <del>Table 2F</del>      |                  | WU 27d (5)        | If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest? |
| <del>58</del> | Table 2F                 |                  | WU 27d (6)        | If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?   |
| <del>51</del> | Table 2D (D1)            |                  | WU 28             | Did all samples meet the data monitoring criteria for Total Coliform - maximum level of no greater than 99 MPN per 100 mL?  |
| <del>51</del> | Table 2D (D1)            |                  | WU 29             | Was there an adequate log reduction (as outlined in Appendix A) in Total Coliforms, based on the untreated water's baseline levels?   |
|               |                          |                  |                   | Note: If "NO" to WU28 or WU29 then continue to monitor for total coliforms and continue to evaluate your irrigation treatment system to identify and correct any failures.  |
| <del>52</del> | <del>Table 2D (D2)</del> |                  | WU 30             | Is the water treatment system being monitored when in use for flow rates and treatment related parameters per the SOP (routine water treatment monitoring)?   |

| Irrigation V     | Vater from Treate   | d TYPE B→A Agricultural Water Sy   | vstems vstems   |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| <del>52</del>    | Table 2D (D2)       |                                    | During every irrigation event, treatment-related parameter values such as residual antimicrobial levels, pH, dose settings, UVT, etc. must be documented to demonstrate the system is working as intended?  |
| <del>52</del>    | Table 2D (D2)       | WU 32                              | Is the system tested for microbial water quality if the monitoring parameters fall outside the acceptable criteria?   |
| <del>52</del>    | Table 2D (D2)       | WU 33                              | Are USEPA antimicrobial water treatments being used, per the label instructions?  |
| <del>52</del>    | Table 2D (D2)       |                                    | Was the crop nutrients and/or crop protection materials window not invoked within 21 days to scheduled harvest for overhead irrigation?   |
|                  |                     |                                    | If WU 34 answered "NO" then WU 34a - WU 34c (3) will drop down  |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       | WU 34a                             | Was it followed by antimicrobial water treatment?   |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       |                                    | Was Option 1 selected?  |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       | WU 34b (1)                         | vas the crop pre-harvest tested for pathogens from all affected lots for STEG, including E. coll O 137.117 and Salmonella after the   |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       |                                    | If no, or the tests were positive for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?   |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       | WU 34c                             | Was Option 2 selected?  |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       |                                    | Was one sample collected pre-treatment as close to the point of use during the irrigation event when crop nutrition/protection chemicals were applied?  |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       | WU 34c (2)                         | Was microbial water quality acceptance criteria and action as described in Table X taken?   |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       |                                    | If no, or the tests were positive for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?   |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       | WU 35                              | If water exceeding the acceptance criteria has been used for crop production within 21 days to scheduled harvest was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, and Salmonella, after the last irrigation and prior to harvest? |
| <del>53</del>    | Table 2D (D3)       |                                    | If "NO" or the tests were positive for STEC, including E coli O157:H7, or Salmonella do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption?   |
| <del>51</del>    | Table 2D (D1)       |                                    | Records show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?  |
| <del>51</del>    | Table 2D (D1)       |                                    | The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli and total coliforms?   |
| Harvest Dir      | rect Produce Con    | tact, Harvest Food Contact Surface | es and Hand Wash Water (On-Farm Practices Only)   |
| <del>59-60</del> | <del>Table 2G</del> |                                    | Is the water that directly contacts edible portions of harvested crop, hand wash water or used on food-contact surfaces (i.e. equipment or utensils) from a source that meets the U.S. EPA Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for E. coli.?                  |
| <del>59-60</del> | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 38a                             | If "NO" has the water received sufficient disinfection to meet the USEPA MCLG for microbial quality?  |
| <del>59-60</del> | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 39                              | Was a source water test conducted for each source of water within 60 days of first use?   |

| Harvest Di                | rect Produce Cor    | ntact, Harvest Food Contact Surfac | es and Hand Wash Water (On-Farm Practices Only)   |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
|                           |                     | WU 40                              | Are records available to demonstrate that water samples or monitoring results have been collected from each water source distribution system within the last month?   |
|                           |                     | WU 40a                             | Were the microbial acceptance criteria met?   |
| <del>59-60 &amp; 61</del> | Table 2G & Figure 6 | WU 40b                             | Is there a corrective action SOP for harvest direct produce contact, harvest food contact surfaces and hand wash water that does not meet acceptance criteria?  |
|                           |                     |                                    | If WU 40a is answered "NO" then WU 40b (1) - WU 40b (8)will drop down   |
|                           |                     | WU 40b (1)                         | Was use of the water discontinued after the tests indicated the water source failed to meet the minimum water quality requirements?   |
|                           |                     | WU 40b (2)                         | Was an agricultural water assessment completed on the water source and distribution system for possible contamination?  |
|                           |                     | WU 40b (3)                         | Do records show that corrective actions were taken per SOP to eliminate the contamination sources?  |
|                           |                     | WU 40b (4)                         | Was the water retested at the same sampling point?  |
| 50 60 0 64                | Table 2G & Figure 6 | WU 40b (5)                         | Did the retest results meet the acceptance criteria - non-detectable per U.S. EPA Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for E. coli. (e.g. less than 2.2 MPN/100 mL)?   |
| <del>59-60 &amp; 61</del> |                     | WU 40b (6)                         | Do records show the water was not used while the water quality was inadequate? (e.g. records for a change in the water source)  |
|                           |                     | WU 40b (7)                         | If water exceeding acceptance criteria has been used for crop production was product sampled from all affected lots for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, and Salmonella?  |
|                           |                     | WU 40b (8)                         | Records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption when the tests were positive for STEC, including E. coli O157:H7, or Salmonella?   |
| <del>59-60</del>          | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 41                              | If the water is reused (multi-pass), is sufficient disinfectant added and monitored at routine intervals to prevent possible cross-contamination? (e.g. Chlorine-more than 1ppm free chlorine and pH 5.5-7.5 or other approved treatment per product EPA label for human pathogen reduction in water) |
| <del>59-60</del>          | Table 2G            | WU 42                              | If disinfectant is used during re-hydration or product coring in the field (single-pass) does the water have breakpoint disinfectant present at point of entry and does the operation monitor and test for disinfectant levels?   |
| Municipal 8               | & Well Exemption    | ns                                 |   |
| <del>59-60</del>          | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 43                              | Is the source water from a municipal supply or well?  |
| <del>59-60</del>          | <del>Table 2G</del> |                                    | Does this source qualify for the 5 consecutive monthly samples below the generic E. coli detection limit on record exemption?   |
| <del>59-60</del>          | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 43b                             | Is the last sample recorded within 180 days of the audit date?  |
| <del>59-60</del>          | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 44                              | Show the name of the test laboratory, water source, date, time, location of the sample and method of analysis, and if quantitative, the detection limit?  |
| <del>59-60</del>          | <del>Table 2G</del> | WU 45                              | The generic E. coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets any FDA method for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli?  |

|                        | Soil Amendments and Crop Inputs |        |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| <del>62</del>          | <del>755</del>                  | SA 01  | Are there SOP's that address the implementation of storage and application controls that establish management controls     |  |  |  |
| <del>92</del>          | <del>100</del>                  |        | that significantly reduce the likelihood that soil amendments being used may contain human pathogens.                      |  |  |  |
|                        |                                 |        | Does the SOP address the following:  |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>758</del>                  |        | Supplier Approval (e.g. Supplier and/or on-farm SOP to prevent cross contamination and written sampling procedures)        |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>759</del>                  | SA 01b | Source and Quality of the amendment  |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>760</del>                  | SA 01c | Delivery and transport   |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>761</del>                  | SA 01d | Surplus/unconsumed inventory   |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>762</del>                  | SA 01e | Length of Storage and storage location prior to crop application   |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>763</del>                  | SA 01f | Timing of application in the crop cycle and processes used for application.  |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>764</del>                  | SA 01g | Weather events (wind, rain and water runoff)   |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>765</del>                  | SA 01h | Potential for animal intrusion while on farm   |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>766</del>                  | SA 01i | Visitor and employee movements   |  |  |  |
| <del>63</del>          | <del>767</del>                  | SA 01j | Vehicle traffic  |  |  |  |
| 65                     | 838                             |        | Are there SOP's that address the implementation of storage and application controls that establish management controls     |  |  |  |
| •••                    |                                 |        | that significantly reduce the likelihood that crop inputs being used may contain human pathogens.                          |  |  |  |
|                        |                                 |        | Does the SOP address the following:  |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>841</del>                  |        | Supplier Approval (e.g. Supplier and/or on-farm SOP to prevent cross contamination and written sampling procedures)        |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | 842                             |        | Source and Quality of the amendment  |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | 843                             |        | Delivery and transport   |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | 844                             |        | Surplus/unconsumed inventory   |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>845</del>                  |        | Length of Storage and storage location prior to crop application   |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>846</del>                  | SA 02f | Timing of application in the crop cycle and processes used for application.  |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>847</del>                  |        | Weather events (wind, rain and water runoff)   |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>848</del>                  | SA 02h | Potential for animal intrusion while on farm   |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>849</del>                  | SA 02i | Visitor and employee movements   |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>850</del>                  | SA 02j | Vehicle traffic  |  |  |  |
| <del>63 &amp; 65</del> | <del>752 &amp; 865</del>        | SA 03  | Do you use any materials that are not verified to be safe for food production as soil amendment or crop input?             |  |  |  |
| <del>65</del>          | <del>835</del>                  | SA 03a | If water is used to make agricultural tea does it meet Table 2G acceptance?  |  |  |  |
| <del>62 &amp; 65</del> | <del>748 &amp; 833</del>        | SA 04  | Do you use soil amendments or crop inputs made from mortality composting processes? If yes, answer the following question. |  |  |  |
| <del>62 &amp; 65</del> | <del>748 &amp; 833</del>        | SA 04a | Did the process follow all local, state, and federal regulations?  |  |  |  |
| <del>63 &amp; 64</del> | 754 <u>&amp; 825</u>            | 0.4.0= | Do you use soil amendments or crop inputs made from post-consumer waste materials? If yes, answer the following question.  |  |  |  |
| 64 & 64                | <del>755 &amp; 825</del>        | SA 05a | Were the materials used according to all local, state, and federal regulations?  |  |  |  |

|                  |                        |                         | Soil Amendments and Crop Inputs  |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 60               | 700                    | 04.00                   | If any soil amendments and/or crop inputs became contaminated, was the product segregated and not used until   |
| <del>63</del>    | 768                    | SA 06                   | determined safe for food production?   |
| <del>63</del>    | <del>768</del>         | SA 07                   | Are on-farm produced soil amendments and/or crop inputs used? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| <del>63</del>    | <del>768</del>         |                         | 7a Are soil amendments and/or crop inputs suspected of being contaminated? If yes, answer the following question.  |
| <del>63</del>    | <del>770</del>         | SA 07a                  | (1) reconditioning, verification it is free of pathogens - COA)  |
| Raw manus        | •                      | ucts/by-products, or no | t fully composted green waste, biosolids, and/or animal manure-containing soil amendments and/or crop inputs (see  |
|                  |                        |                         | Was raw manure and/or other soil amendments and/or crop inputs containing untreated animal by-products, uncomposted  |
|                  |                        |                         | or incompletely composted animal manure, or non-thermally treated animal manure applied to lettuce/leafy greens  |
| <del>62</del>    | 743                    | SA 08                   | production? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| <del>66</del>    | Table 3                | SA 0                    | 8a Were leafy greens planted before one year? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| <del>66</del>    | Table 3                | SA 0                    | Was the 270-day time period used? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
| <del>66</del>    | Table 3                | SA 08b                  | (1) Was soil testing conducted?  |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>     | SA 08b                  | (2) Did testing results meet the required acceptance criteria?   |
| <del>66</del>    | Table 3                | SA 09                   | Have <u>Type A biosolids</u> been used as a soil amendment and/or crop inputs or used as an ingredient for soil amendments for lettuce/leafy greens production? If yes, answer the following question. |
| 66               | Table 3                | •                       | 9a Were leafy greens planted within one year from application?   |
|                  |                        |                         |  |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>     | SA 10                   | Have <u>Type B biosolids</u> been used as a soil amendment and/or crop inputs or used as an ingredient for soil amendments for lettuce/leafy greens production? If yes, answer the following question. |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>     | SA1                     | 0a Were leafy greens planted within 38 months from application?  |
| 7A- Compo        | sted Soil Amendments a | nd/or Crop Inputs (cont | ain animal manure or animal products)  |
|                  |                        |                         | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 11                   | within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             |                         | 1a Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 11a                  | (1) Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 11a                  | (2) Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 11a                  | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs (3) have been adequately cured?  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 12                   | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of animal origin composted with the <u>Enclosed or Within-Vessel</u> composting method? If yes, answer the following question.                             |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 1                    | 2a Are Process Validation records available for review?  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 1                    | 2b Was the active compost maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?   |
|                  |                        |                         | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting   |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>  | SA 13                   | method? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 1                    | 3a Are <b>Process Validation</b> records available for review?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 1                    | 3b Was the active compost covered with insulating materials, per federal, state and local regulations?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A             | SA 1                    | 3c Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days or longer?  |
|                  | Table 3-7A             |                         | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs 3d have been adequately cured?   |

| . A Joint                        | osted Soil Amendmen   | ts and/or Crop Inputs (contain                          | animal manure or animal products)  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 14   | Has each lot of composted material or soil amendment and/or crop inputs been applied to the production location more than 45 days before harvest?  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 15   | Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required? If yes, answer the following question.  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16   | Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
|                                  |   |   | Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16a  | Fecal coliforms : < 1000 MPN / gram  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16b  | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16c  | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit per methodology used   |
|                                  |   |   | Have the recommended test methods been used:   |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16d  | Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN   |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | SA 16e  | Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682   |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | SA 16f  | STEC: Any laboratory validated method for compost  |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | SA 16g  | Other U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC-accredited methods used as an appropriate replacement  |
|                                  |   | -   | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16h  | Was a representative and random composite sample obtained as described in the California State regulations?  |
| <del>67-68</del>                 | Table 3-7A  | SA 16i  | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?   |
|                                  |   |   | Has the testing frequency been used:   |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | SA 16i  | Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for greater than one calendar year?   |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | -   | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7A?   |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | SA 17   | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?  |
| 67-68                            | Table 3-7A  | SA 18   | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?  |
|                                  | nposted Soil Amendmoof animal origin, etc.)   | ents and/or Crop Inputs Not Co                          | ontaining Products of Animal Origin (Green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post consumer waste not containing  |
| -                                |   |   |  |
| <del>69-70</del>                 | Table 3-7B[1]   | SA 19   | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| 69-70                            | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1]   | <b>SA 19</b> SA 19a                                     | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.  Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.   |
| 69-70<br>69-70                   | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1]   | SA 19<br>SA 19a<br>SA 19a (1)                           | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.  Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?   |
| 69-70<br>69-70<br>69-70          | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1]                               | SA 19<br>SA 19a<br>SA 19a (1)<br>SA 19a (2)             | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.  Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs  |
| 69-70<br>69-70                   | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1]   | SA 19<br>SA 19a<br>SA 19a (1)<br>SA 19a (2)             | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.  Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs have been adequately cured?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs are free of product of animal origin?  |
| 69-70<br>69-70<br>69-70<br>69-70 | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1]  Table 3-7B[1]  Table 3-7B[1] | SA 19 SA 19a SA 19a (1) SA 19a (2) SA 19a (3)           | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.  Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs have been adequately cured?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available   |
| 69-70<br>69-70<br>69-70<br>69-70 | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1]   | SA 19  SA 19a (1)  SA 19a (2)  SA 19a (3)  SA 20  SA 21 | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following question.  Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.  Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?  Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs have been adequately cured?  Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs are free of product of animal origin?  Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel |

|                  | posted Soil Amendments<br>of animal origin, etc.) | and/or Crop Inputs Not Co | ontaining Products of Animal Origin (Green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post consumer waste not containing  |
|------------------|---|---------------------------|--|
|                  |   |                           | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available that shows   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | the soil amendment and/or crop inputs are free of product of animal origin?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 22                     | Were any soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 22a                    | Are Process Validation records available for review? If yes, answer the following questions.   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 22a (1)                | Was the active compost covered with insulating materials, per federal, state and local regulations?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 22a (2)                | Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days or longer?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 22a (3)                | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs has been adequately cured?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     | SA 23                     | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs are free of product of animal origin? |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     | SA 24                     | Has each lot of composted material or soil amendment and/or crop inputs been applied to the production location more than 45 days before harvest?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 25                     | Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required? If yes, answer the following questions.   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     | SA 26                     | Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
|                  |   |                           | Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          |                           | Fecal coliforms: < 1000 MPN / gram   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          |                           | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     | SA 26c                    | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit per methodology used   |
|                  |   |                           | Have the recommended test methods been used:   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | STEC: Any laboratory validated method for compost  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | Other U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC-accredited methods used as an appropriate replacement  |
|                  |   |                           | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | Was a representative and random composite sample obtained as described in the California State regulations?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     | SA 26i                    | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?   |
|                  |   |                           | Has the testing frequency been used:   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 26j                    | Were there products suspected of contamination or has bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for greater than one calendar year?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7B[1]?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]                                     |                           | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>                          | SA 28                     | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?  |

|                  |                          |                  |                  | nd/or Crop Inputs Not Containing products of Animal origin (fungal/bacterial extracts, green/plant waste, plant extracts, aste not containing products of animal origin, etc.)                                   |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
|                  |                          |                  |                  | Were any non-composted soil amendments and/or crop inputs of non-animal origin applied to the field within the last  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                  | SA 29            | year? If yes, answer the following question.   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                  | SA 29a           | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop input is free of product of animal origin? |
|                  |                          | SA 29b           |                  | Is the product a biopesticide that has gone through regulatory review? If yes, no testing before application is required.  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                  | SA 30            | Has each lot been tested before application? yes, answer the following questions.  |
|                  |                          | SA 30a           | 1                | If no, is an application time interval used?   |
|                  |                          | SA30b            |                  | If testing has been completed, has acceptance criteria been met for the following:   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA30c            | SA 30a           | Fecal coliforms: < 1000 MPN / gram of total solids (Dry weight basis)  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30d           |                  | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)  |
| 71-72            | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30e           |                  | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30f           |                  | Listeria monocytogenes: Negative   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30g           |                  | Have recommended test methods (U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC or validated/accredited methods) been used?   |
| 71-72            | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30h           | 1                | Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?   |
|                  |                          |                  |                  |  |
| 71-72            | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30i           | SA 30g           | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria for the following:  For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?   |
| 71-72            | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30i           | _                | For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | G/ ( GO)         | SV 30!           | If no, is an application time interval used?   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | SA 30k           |                  | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process?   |
|                  | 14510 0 1 D[2]           | 0/1001           |                  |  |
|                  |                          |                  |                  | Has the testing frequency been used:  Were there products suspected of contamination or was bulk finished product, not enclosed or packaged, been stored for greater   |
| <del>71-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[2]</del> | SA 30I           | C A 2014         | than one calendar year?  |
|                  | Table 3-7B[2]            |                  |                  |  |
| 71-73<br>71-72   |                          | SA 30I (1)       | SA 31            | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7B[2]?  |
|                  | Table 3-7B[2]            |                  |                  | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                  |                  | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?  |
| /C Biologi       | ical Soil Amendm         | ents and/or Crop | Inputs that have | gone through a Validated Treatment Process (not including composting)  |
| <del>72-74</del> | Table 3-7C               |                  | SA 33            | Has a soil amendment and/or crop input been applied that has gone through a heat validated treatment process? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
| <del>72-74</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>    |                  | SA 33a           | Are a Certificate of Process Validity as defined by the "Guidelines" and a COA that meets acceptance criteria available for review?  |
| <del>72-74</del> | Table 3-7C               |                  |                  | If a Certificate of Process Validity is not available and COA that meets acceptance criteria is available, was the amendment applied no less than 45 days from harvest?  |
|                  |                          |                  |                  | Have acceptance criteria been met for each of the following:   |
| <del>70-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>    |                  | SA 33c           | Fecal coliforms: Negative or less than Detection Limit per gram  |
| <del>70-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>    |                  |                  | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C               |                  |                  | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C               |                  |                  | Listeria monocytogenes: Not detected of Detection Limit (<1 CFU/5 grams)   |

| cal Soil Amendm         | ents and/or Crop Inputs that have   | gone through a Validated Treatment Process (not including composting)   |
|-------------------------|---|---|
|                         |   | Have the recommended test methods been used:  |
| Table 3-7C              | SA 33g  | Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN  |
| Table 3-7C              | SA 33h  | Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682  |
| Table 3-7C              | SA 33i  | STEC and Listeria monocytogenes: Any laboratory validated method for compost  |
| Table 3-7C              | SA 33j  | U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or other validated/accredited methods may be used as appropriate   |
| Table 3-7C              | SA 33k  | Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?  |
|                         |   | Has the Sampling Plan followed the acceptable criteria for the following: For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?   |
| Table 3-7C              |   |   |
| Table 3-7C              |   | For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?   |
| <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | SA 33n  | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process?  |
|                         |   | Has the testing frequency been used:  |
|                         | SA 330  | Was each lot tested before application to production fields? Was the lot suspected of contamination and reconditioned/re-processed?   |
|                         |   |   |
| <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | SA 33p (1)  | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7C?  |
| <del>Table 3-7C</del>   |   | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?   |
| <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | SA 35   | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?   |
| tic and/or Inorgar      | nic Soil Amendments and/or Crop I   | Inputs  |
| <del>Table 3 - 7D</del> | SA 36   | Have synthetic and/or Inorganic Soil Amendments and/or crop inputs been applied?  |
|                         |   | Is documentation available that shows the soil amendment and/or crop inputs is free of non-synthetic products and not   |
| Table 3 - 7D            | SA 37   | containing ingredients of animal origin or manure?  |
| <del>Table 3 - 7D</del> |   | Were products used in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations?  |
| Table 3 - 7D            | SA 39   | Was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?   |
| mendments with          | Combined Components and/or Cro  | pp Inputs   |
|                         |   | Has a soil amendment and/or crop inputs that has combined different categories of materials been applied within the past  |
| Table 3 - 7E            | SA 40   | year? If yes, answer the following question.  |
|                         |   | Does the combined soil amendment and/or crop inputs include:  |
| -                       |   | Composted material containing animal manure or animal products  |
| 1                       | SA 40b  | Composted material not containing products of animal origin   |
|                         | SA 40c  | Non-Composted, Solid and Liquid, not containing products of animal origin   |
| <del>Table 3 - 7E</del> |   | Biological material that has gone through a Validated Treatment Process   |
| _                       |   | Synthetic and/or Inorganic material   |
| <del>Table 3 - 7E</del> | SA 40f  | Have the acceptance criteria been met the requirement of its respective class of materials? (See above for appropriate criteria.)   |
| <del>Table 3 - 7E</del> | SA 40g  | Has the sampling plan followed the criteria for the requirement of its respective class of materials?   |
|                         |   | If product has been applied to the edible portion of the crop, have application intervals for the requirement of its respective   |
| Table 3 - 7E            | SA 41   | class of materials per the most stringent limits been followed?   |
|                         |   | Are test results, COAs, and documentation current, reviewed before use and available for verification from the grower for a   |
|                         | Table 3-7C Table 3-7D Table 3-7D Table 3-7D Table 3-7D Table 3-7D Table 3-7E | Table 3 7C         SA 33g           Table 3 7C         SA 33h           Table 3 7C         SA 33j           Table 3 7C         SA 33k           Table 3 7C         SA 33m           Table 3 7C         SA 33m           Table 3 7C         SA 33n           Table 3 7C         SA 33p (1)           Table 3 7C         SA 34           Table 3 7C         SA 35           tic and/or Inorganic Soil Amendments and/or Crop           Table 3 7D         SA 36           Table 3 7D         SA 38           Table 3 7D         SA 39           mendments with Combined Components and/or Crop           Table 3 7E         SA 40a           Table 3 7E |

| aw manui         | re, untreated animal pr | oducts/by-products, or not fu           | Illy composted green waste, biosolids, and/or animal manure-containing crop inputs (see composted)                               |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
|                  | •                       | * |  |
| 64               | <del>821</del>          | <del>SA 43</del>                        | Were raw manure and/or other Crop Inputs containing untreated animal by-products, uncomposted or incompletely                    |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 43a</del>                       | Were leafy greens planted before one year? If yes, answer the following question.  |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 43b</del>                       | Was the 270-day time period used? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 43b (1)</del>                   | Was soil testing conducted?  |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 43b (2)</del>                   | Did testing results meet the required acceptance criteria?   |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 44</del>                        | Have Type A biosolids been used as a crop input or an ingredient for crop inputs for lettuce/leafy greens production?            |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 44a</del>                       | Were leafy greens planted within one year from application?  |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 45</del>                        | Have Type B biosolids been used as a crop input or an ingredient for crop inputs for lettuce/leafy greens production?            |
| <del>66</del>    | <del>Table 3</del>      | <del>SA 45a</del>                       | Were leafy greens planted within 38 months from application?   |
| A Compo          | atad Cran Innuts (acut  |   |  |
|                  |                         | ain animal manure or animal             | <del>products)</del><br>   |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>   | <del>SA 46</del>                        | Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the windrow method applied to the crop within the last year? If yes         |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>   | <del>SA 46a</del>                       | Are Process Validation records available for review?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 46a (1)</del>                   | Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?                       |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 46a (2)</del>                   | Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 46a (3)</del>                   | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 47</del>                        | Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes, answer             |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 47a</del>                       | Are Process Validation records available for review?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 47b</del>                       | Was the active compost was maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 48</del>                        | Were any crop inputs of animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting method? If yes, answer the               |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>   | <del>SA 48a</del>                       | Are Process Validation records available for review?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | SA 48b                                  | Was the active compost covered with insulating materials, per federal, state and local regulations?                              |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | SA 48c                                  | Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>   | <del>SA 48d</del>                       | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>   | <del>SA 49</del>                        | Has each lot of composted material or crop input been applied to the production location more than 45 days before                |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A              | <del>SA 50</del>                        | Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required? If yes, answer        |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>   | <del>SA 51</del>                        | Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.                                      |

| 7A- Comp         | osted Crop Inputs (contain  | n animal manure or animal | <del>products)</del>   |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|                  |                             |                           | Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:  |
| <del>67-68</del> | <del>Table 3-7A</del>       | <del>SA 51a</del>         | Fecal coliforms: < 1000 MPN / gram   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51b</del>         | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)  |
| 67-68            | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51c</del>         | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit per methodology used   |
|                  |                             |                           | Have the recommended test methods been used:   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51d</del>         | Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple tube MPN   |
| 67-68            | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51e</del>         | Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682   |
| 67-68            | Table 3-7A                  | SA 51f                    | STEC: Any laboratory validated method for compost  |
| 67-68            | Table 3-7A                  |                           | Other U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC-accredited methods used as an appropriate replacement  |
|                  |                             |                           | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51h</del>         | Was a representative and random composite sample was obtained following California State regulations?  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51i</del>         | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?   |
|                  |                             |                           | Has the testing frequency been used:   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 51j</del>         | than one calendar year?  |
|                  |                             | <del>SA 51j (1)</del>     | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7A?   |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 52</del>          | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?  |
| <del>67-68</del> | Table 3-7A                  | <del>SA 53</del>          | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?  |
|                  |                             |                           | imal Origin (green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post-consumer waste not containing products of animal origin, etc.<br><del>Were any Crop Inputs of non-animal origin composted with the <u>windrow method</u> applied to the field within the last year? If</del> |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 5</del> 4         | yes, answer the following questions.   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    |                           | Are Process Validation records available for review?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    |                           | Did the active compost maintain aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131°F or higher for 15 days or longer?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 54a (2)</del>     | Was there a minimum of five (5) turnings during this period?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 54a (3)</del>     | Is there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured'  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 54a (4)</del>     | the green input in free of product of animal origin?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]               |                           | Were any Crop Inputs of non-animal origin composted with the Enclosed or Within-Vessel composting method? If yes,  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 55a</del>         | Are Process Validation records available for review?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]               |                           | Was the active compost was maintained for a minimum of 131 °F for 3 days or longer?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 55c</del>         | to more a Louisi or Suarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural labereto, available that snow   |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del>    | <del>SA 56</del>          | Were any Crop Inputs of non-animal origin composted with the Aerated Static Pile Composting method? If yes, answer th  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1] Table 3-7B[1] | <del>SA 56a</del>         | Are Process Validation records available for review?  Was the active compost covered with insulating materials, per federal, state and local regulations?  |
|                  |                             |                           |  |

| 7B[1] Con        | posted Crop Inputs I     | Not Containing Products of Ani | mal Origin (green/plant waste, vegetative material, pre/post-consumer waste not containing products of animal origin, etc.)       |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del> | <del>SA 56c</del>              | Was the pile maintained for a minimum of 131°F for 3 days?  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 56d</del>              | ls there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation, available that shows the crop input has been adequately cured? |
| <del>69-70</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[1]</del> | <del>SA 56e</del>              | the crop input is free of product of animal origin?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 57</del>               | Has each lot of composted material or crop input been applied to the production location more than 45 days before                 |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 58</del>               | Has each lot of composted material that is less than or equal to 5000 cubic yards been tested as required?                        |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | SA 59                          | Has each unique lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.                                       |
|                  |                          |                                | Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59a</del>              | Fecal coliforms : < 1000 MPN / gram   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59b</del>              | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59c</del>              | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit per methodology used  |
|                  |                          |                                | Have the recommended test methods been used:  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>S∧ 59d</del>              | Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59e</del>              | Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | SA 59f                         | STEC: Any laboratory validated method for compost   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59g</del>              | Other U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or TMECC-accredited methods used as an appropriate replacement   |
| <del>69-70</del> |                          |                                | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria:   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59h</del>              | Was a representative and random composite sample was obtained following California State regulations.                             |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59i</del>              | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative?  |
| <del>69-70</del> |                          |                                | Has the testing frequency been used:  |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | 1 1                            | than one calendar year?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 59j (1)</del>          | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7B[1]?   |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | SA 60                          | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?               |
| <del>69-70</del> | Table 3-7B[1]            | <del>SA 61</del>               | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?                                 |

|                  |                          |                       |                       | ntaining products of Animal origin (fungal/bacterial extracts, green/plant waste, plant extracts, vegetative material, algae,<br>ets of animal origin, etc.)                            |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 71-72            | Table 3-7B[2]            |                       | SA 62                 | Were any non-composted Crop Inputs of non-animal origin applied to the field within the last year? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                       | <del>SA 62a</del>     | ls there a Letter of Guarantee, or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, agricultural label etc.) available that sh the crop input is free of product of animal origin? |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                       | <del>SA 63</del>      | Has each lot been tested before application? If yes, answer the following questions.  |
|                  |                          |                       | <del>SA 63a</del>     | has acceptance criteria been met for the following:   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63b</del>     | <del>SA 63a</del>     | Fecal coliforms: < 1000 MPN / gram of total solids (Dry weight basis)   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63c</del>     | <del>SA 63b</del>     | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63d</del>     | <del>SA 63c</del>     | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63e</del>     | <del>SA 63d</del>     | Listeria menecytogenes: Negative  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63f</del>     | <del>SA 63e</del>     |   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63g</del>     | <del>SA 63f</del>     |   |
|                  |                          |                       |                       | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria for the following:   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63h</del>     | <del>SA 63g</del>     | For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63i</del>     | SA 63h                | For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63j</del>     | <del>SA 63i</del>     | If no, is an application time interval used?  |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            | <del>SA 63k</del>     | <del>SA 63j</del>     | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process?  |
|                  |                          |                       |                       | Has the testing frequency been used:  |
| <del>71-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7B[2]</del> | <del>SA 63</del> 1    |                       | than one calendar year?   |
|                  |                          | <del>SA 63I (1)</del> | <del>SA 63k (1)</del> | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7B[2]?   |
| <del>71-72</del> | Table 3-7B[2]            |                       | <del>SA 64</del>      | Are test results, COA's, and documentation current, and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?   |
| 71-72            | Table 3-7B[2]            |                       | <del>SA 65</del>      | Are policies, procedures, letters of guarantee, and similar types of documents, updated annually?   |

| 7C- Biolog       | ical Crop Inputs that h | ave gone through a Validated | Treatment Process (not including composting)  |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| <del>72-74</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | <del>SA 66</del>             | Has a crop input been applied that has gone through a validated treatment process? If yes, answer the following                     |
| <del>72-74</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | <del>SA 66a</del>            | Are a Certificate of Process Validity as defined by the "Guidelines" and a COA that meets acceptance criteria available for review? |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | SA 66b                       | no lose than 15 days from har yest?   |
|                  |                         |                              | Has acceptance criteria been met for the following:   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66c</del>            | Fecal coliforms: Negative or less than Detection Limit per gram   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              |                              | Salmonella: Negative or less than Detection Limit (<1 MPN/30 grams)   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66e</del>            | STEC: Negative or less than Detection Limit (per methodology used)  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66f</del>            | Listeria monocytogenes: Not detected or less than Detection Limit (<1 CFU/5 grams)  |
|                  |                         |                              | Have the recommended test methods been used for the following:  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66g</del>            | Fecal coliforms: U.S. EPA Method 1680; multiple-tube MPN  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66h</del>            | Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66i</del>            | STEC and Listeria monocytogenes: Any laboratory validated method for compost  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66j</del>            | U.S. EPA, FDA, AOAC, or other validated/accredited methods may be used as appropriate   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66k</del>            | Is Lot Information described on the COA or accompanying the COA?  |
|                  |                         |                              | Has the sampling plan followed the acceptable criteria?   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66I</del>            | For solids, was the sample a minimum of n=60?   |
| <del>70-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | <del>SA 66m</del>            | For liquids was the sample size per production process lot sizes?   |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 66n</del>            | Was the sample obtained by a trained representative and/or verified automated process   |
|                  |                         |                              | Has the testing frequency been used:  |
| <del>70-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | <del>SA 660</del>            | Was each lot tested before application to production fields?  |
| <del>70-72</del> | <del>Table 3-7C</del>   | <del>SA 66p</del>            | Was the lot suspected of contamination and reconditioned/re-processed?  |
|                  |                         | SA 66p(1)                    | If yes, does the product meet the metric requirements in Table 3 - 7C?  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | <del>SA 67</del>             | Were products used in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations?  |
| <del>70-72</del> | Table 3-7C              | SA 68                        | Was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?                                     |

| 7D - Synthe           | 7D - Synthetic and/or Inorganic Crop Inputs |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7D                                | <del>SA 69</del>  | Have synthetic and/or Inorganic Crop Inputs been applied to the crop?   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>7</del> 4        | <del>Table 3 - 7D</del>                     | <del>SA 70</del>  | Is documentation available that shows the crop input is free of non-synthetic products and not containing ingredients of        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7D                                | <del>SA 71</del>  | Were products used in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | <del>Table 3 - 7D</del>                     | <del>SA 72</del>  | Was the documentation available and reviewed before use (i.e. application or before first use)?                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>7E – Combi</del> | ined Crop Input                             | Components        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | <del>Table 3 - 7E</del>                     | <del>SA 73</del>  | Has a crop input that has combined different categories of materials been applied within the past year? If yes, answer the      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       |   |                   | Does the combined crop input include:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>74</del>         | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 73a</del> | Composted material containing animal manure or animal products  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 73b</del> | Composted material not containing products of animal origin   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 73c</del> | Non-Composted, Solid and Liquid, Not Containing products of animal origin   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 73d</del> | Biological material that have gone through a Validated Treatment Process  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | <del>Table 3 - 7E</del>                     | <del>SA 73e</del> | Synthetic and/or Inorganic material   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | SA 73f            | Have the acceptance criteria been met for the highest risk ingredient? (See previous sections for appropriate criteria.)        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 73g</del> | Has the sampling plan followed the criteria for the highest risk ingredient?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 74</del>  | If product has been applied to the edible portion of the crop, have application intervals for the requirement of its respective |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74                    | Table 3 - 7E                                | <del>SA 75</del>  | Are test results, COAs, and documentation current, reviewed before use and available for verification from the                  |  |  |  |  |  |

|                  | Field Sanitation Daily Harvest Assessment |            |                       |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---|------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| General Re       | equirements                               |            |                       | <u> </u>   |  |  |  |
| 81               | 1025                                      |            | <del>FS 01</del>      | Is there a written policy for all employees in the field location which describes the required field sanitation SOPs?  |  |  |  |
| 81               | <del>1028</del>                           | FS 01      | F5 01a                | Is a specific individual designated as responsible for food safety compliance with the best practices of the LGMA for growing operations?  |  |  |  |
| <del>81</del>    | <del>1028</del>                           | FS 02      |                       | Is a specific individual designated as responsible for food safety compliance with the best practices of the LGMA for harvesting?  |  |  |  |
| Daily Harve      | est Assessment                            |            |                       |  |  |  |  |
|                  |   | FS 03      | <del>FS 02</del>      | Is a documented daily food safety harvest assessment available for review?   |  |  |  |
| <del>22</del>    | <del>128</del>                            | FS 03a     |                       | Is the assessment dated?   |  |  |  |
| 22               | 128                                       | FS 03b     |                       | Is the individual who conducted the assessment identified?   |  |  |  |
| <del>21</del>    | 118                                       | FS 03c     |                       | Are the specific growing blocks associated with the assessment clearly identified?   |  |  |  |
| <del>22</del>    | <del>128</del>                            | FS 03d     | F <del>S 020</del>    | Is the Harvester name and contact information documented?  |  |  |  |
| <del>91-92</del> | <del>Table 6</del>                        | FS 03e     | <del>FS 02e</del>     | Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion or potential risk of intrusion?  |  |  |  |
|                  |   |            |                       | If FS 02e is answered "NO" then FS 02e (1) - FS 02e (6) will drop down.  |  |  |  |
| <del>91-92</del> | <del>Table 6</del>                        | FS 03e (1) |                       | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed by food safety professional or food safety personnel?  |  |  |  |
| <del>91-92</del> | <del>Table 6</del>                        | FS 03e (2) |                       | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?   |  |  |  |
| <del>91-92</del> | <del>Table 6</del>                        | FS 03e (3) | \ /                   | ls there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?   |  |  |  |
| <del>91-92</del> | <del>Table 6</del>                        | FS 03e (3) |                       | If "YES" were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?   |  |  |  |
| 91-92            | Table 6                                   | FS 03e (4) |                       | Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?   |  |  |  |
| 91-92            | Table 6                                   | FS 03e (5) |                       | If "YES" were corrective actions carried out per the LGMA requirements?  |  |  |  |
| <del>91-92</del> | <del>Table 6</del>                        | FS 03e (6) | <del>FS 02e (7)</del> | If "YES" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?   |  |  |  |
| <del>2</del> 4   | <del>225-228</del>                        | FS04       | <del>FS 03</del>      | Did the assessment address environmental sources of contaminants after a change in weather conditions or weather events since the last assessment?   |  |  |  |
|                  |   | FS04a      | <del>FS 03a</del>     | If the crop or operations were impacted were corrective actions carried out according to Company SOP?  |  |  |  |
|                  |   |            |                       | Field Sanitation Worker Practices  |  |  |  |
| General Re       | quirements                                |            |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 81               | <del>1025</del>                           |            | FS-04                 | Is there a written policy for all employees and all visitors to the field location which describes the required hygiene rules?   |  |  |  |
|                  |   |            |                       | Does the Policy address the following:   |  |  |  |
| <del>81</del>    | <del>1031</del>                           |            | <del>FS 04a</del>     | Field Worker Practices (GMP's, GHP's, etc.)  |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del>    | <del>1059</del>                           |            | FS 04b                | Worker Health Practices  |  |  |  |
| Field Work       | er Practices                              |            |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 81               | <del>1025</del>                           |            | FS 05                 | Is there a written worker practices program that establishes employee work rules?  |  |  |  |
|                  |   |            |                       | Does the program address the following:  |  |  |  |
| 81               | <del>1032 &amp; 1036</del>                |            | FS 05a                | Requirement for workers to wash their hands with soap and water before beginning or returning to work, and any other time when hands may have become contaminated. Use of antiseptic/sanitizer or wipes as a substitute for soap and water is not permitted. |  |  |  |
|                  |   | FS 05b     |                       | Use of antiseptic/sanitizer or wipes as a substitute for soap and water is not permitted.  |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del>    | <del>1043</del>                           | FS 05c     | FS 05b                | Confine smoking, eating and drinking (except water) to designated areas.   |  |  |  |
| 82               | <del>1058</del>                           | FS 05d     |                       | Storage requirements for personal items in/or adjacent to the field?   |  |  |  |
|                  |   |            |                       | , , ,  |  |  |  |

| Field Work    | Field Worker Practices |         |        |   |  |  |  |
|---------------|------------------------|---------|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 81            | 1039 &1041             | FS 05e  | FS 05d | The appropriate use and sanitation of gloves, this includes prohibiting the use of personal gloves and taking gloves home.  |  |  |  |
| 82            | <del>1042</del>        | FS 05f  | FS 05e | Avoid contact with animals  |  |  |  |
| 82            | 1044                   | FS 05g  |        | Prohibitions on spitting, urinating or defecating in the field.   |  |  |  |
| 81            | 1037                   | FS 05h  |        | Requirement for workers' clothing to be clean at the start of the day.  |  |  |  |
|               |                        | <b></b> |        | Advise visitors of policies and procedures to protect lettuce/leafy greens and food-contact surfaces from contamination by people   |  |  |  |
|               |                        | FS 05i  |        | and take all steps reasonable necessary to ensure that visitors comply with such policies and procedures.   |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del> | <del>1048</del>        |         | FS 06  | For materials targeted for further processing, is there a written physical hazard prevention program?   |  |  |  |
|               |                        |         |        | Does the program address the following:   |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del> | <del>1050</del>        |         | FS 06a | The proper wearing of head and facial hair restraints.  |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del> | <del>1051</del>        |         | FS 06b | The proper wearing of apron and other food safety apparel.  |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del> | <del>1054</del>        |         | FS 06c | Removal of visible jewelry (rings, bracelets, necklaces, body piercings, etc.) or covering of hand jewelry prior to the start of work.  |  |  |  |
| <del>82</del> | <del>1057</del>        |         | FS 06d | Removal of all objects from upper pockets.  |  |  |  |
| Worker Hea    | alth Practices         |         |        |   |  |  |  |
| 72            | <del>1059</del>        |         | FS 07  | Is there a written worker health practices program that establishes employee work rules?  |  |  |  |
|               |                        |         |        | Does the program address the following:   |  |  |  |
| <del>72</del> | <del>1061</del>        |         | FS 07a | Workers with diarrheal disease or symptoms of other infectious disease are prohibited from being in the field or handling fresh produce or food-contact surfaces?   |  |  |  |
| <del>72</del> | <del>1065</del>        |         | FS 07b | Workers with open cuts or lesions are prohibited from handling fresh produce.   |  |  |  |
| <del>72</del> | <del>1067</del>        |         |        | Instruct personnel to notify supervisors if they may have a health condition that may result in contamination of covered produce or food contact surfaces (e.g. injury or illness).   |  |  |  |
| <del>72</del> | 1071                   |         | FS 07d | A policy describing procedures for handling/disposition of produce or food contact surfaces that have come into contact with blood or other body fluids.  |  |  |  |
| Sanitary Fa   | acilities              |         |        |   |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1083</del>        |         | FS 08  | Is there a documented field sanitary facility program? (i.e. SOP)   |  |  |  |
|               |                        |         |        | Does the program address the following:   |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1088</del>        |         | FS 08a | The number, condition, and placement of field sanitation units complies with applicable state and/or federal regulations.   |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1091</del>        |         | FS 08b | Sanitary facilities are readily accessible (proximate) to the work area.  |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1092</del>        |         | FS 08c | Sanitary facilities are regularly maintained, cleaned and serviced according to schedule.   |  |  |  |
| 83            | 1093                   |         | FS 08d | Sanitary facilities have sufficient consumable supplies (i.e. hand soap, water that meets the hand wash acceptance criteria in Table 2G, paper towels, toilet paper, etc.).   |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1095</del>        |         | FS 08e | Readily understandable signs are posted (e.g. to instruct employees to wash their hands after using the facility)   |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1096</del>        |         | FS 08f | Field sanitation facilities are cleaned and serviced with waste disposed of on a scheduled basis and at a location that minimizes the potential risk for product contamination. (i.e. gray water, black water, overspray/drift or runoff) |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1100</del>        |         | FS 08g | Address the placement and transport of the sanitary facility in order to minimize any impact on the crop in the field including:  |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1100</del>        |         |        | Minimize the impact on the crop from leaks and/or spills  |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1101</del>        |         | FS 08i | Ability to access the unit for maintenance and cleaning service   |  |  |  |
| 83            | <del>1097</del>        |         | FS 08j | Response plan in the event of a leak and/or spill. (e.g. an SOP and a documented corrective action)   |  |  |  |

| Field Sanitation Contact with Soil and Contaminants |                          |         |                  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| General Requirements                                |                          |         |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Field and H   | arvest Activitie         | s SOP's |                  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                          |         | FS 09            | Is there a written field and harvest activity SOP?   |  |  |  |
|   |                          |         |                  | Do SOPs address the following:   |  |  |  |
| <del>80</del>                                       | <del>1000</del>          |         | FS 0             | 9a Prohibit ground or soil contact of cut surfaces.  |  |  |  |
| 84  | <del>1122</del>          |         | FS 0             | 9b Discard and do not pack lettuce/leafy greens dropped on the ground or soil during harvest.                                      |  |  |  |
| 80  | 997                      |         | ES C             | Oross contamination by farming equipment and tools that comes into contact with raw manure, untreated compost, waters of           |  |  |  |
| 80  | 997                      |         |                  | funktiown quality, animal nazards or other potential sources.  |  |  |  |
|   |                          |         |                  | 9d If "YES" does it appropriately restrict the use or require a documented cleaning and sanitation program of the equipment?       |  |  |  |
|   |                          |         | FS 0             | 9e If cleaning and sanitation is required, are records of the cleaning/sanitation available for review.                            |  |  |  |
| <del>87</del>                                       | <del>1237</del>          |         | FS 10            | Is there a written SOP for production locations that have environmental source of pathogens (i.e. CAFO, dairy, hobby farm and      |  |  |  |
| <del>01</del>                                       | 1201                     |         | F3 10            | manure or livestock compost facility) and the potential for contamination during weather conditions and events?                    |  |  |  |
| <del>81</del>                                       | <del>1013</del>          |         | FS 11            | Is there an SOP that addresses waste, trash, and other debris that protects product and production area from contamination?        |  |  |  |
|   |                          | FS 12   |                  | Is there a written SOP for corrective actions for "Low Hazard" animal intrusion?   |  |  |  |
|   |                          |         | F                | ield Sanitation Harvest Equipment, Packing Materials and Buildings   |  |  |  |
| <del>78 &amp; 79</del>                              | <del>918 &amp; 949</del> | FS 13   | FS 12            | Is there an SOP/SSOP for food-contact surfaces of harvest equipment, tools, and utensils?  |  |  |  |
|   |                          |         |                  | Does the SOP/SSOP address the following:   |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 949-958                  | FS 13a  | FS-1             | 2a Equipment specific cleaning instructions  |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 949-958                  | FS 13b  | FS-1             | 2b Method and frequency of cleaning and sanitation   |  |  |  |
| <del>78</del>                                       | <del>919</del>           | FS 13c  | FS-1             | Cleaning and sanitizing are completed before moving to the next commodity and/or field   |  |  |  |
| <del>78</del>                                       | <del>920</del>           | FS 13d  | FS-1             | 2d Daily inspection of food contact surfaces prior to harvest  |  |  |  |
| <del>78</del>                                       | <del>921</del>           | FS 13e  | FS-1             | Equipment rinsing and sanitizing prior to beginning daily harvest if inspection indicates to do so?                                |  |  |  |
| <del>81</del>                                       | <del>1030</del>          | FS 13f  |                  | 2f Chemical usage and record keeping (e.g. soap, detergent, sanitizer, etc.)   |  |  |  |
| <del>78</del>                                       | <del>926</del>           | FS 13g  | FS-1             | 2g Sanitation Procedures Verification  |  |  |  |
| <del>80</del>                                       | <del>970</del>           | FS 13h  | FS 1             | Proper cleaning and sanitation for changes in conditions (e.g. weather, pest activity, contact with non-covered PSR produce, etc.) |  |  |  |
| <del>78</del>                                       | <del>921</del>           | FS 14   | FS-13            | Do records indicate that food contact surfaces on harvest equipment was rinsed and sanitized prior to harvest if needed?           |  |  |  |
| _   |                          |         |                  | Do records indicate food contact surfaces on harvest equipment, tools and utensils are cleaned and sanitized at the end of         |  |  |  |
| <del>78</del>                                       | <del>901</del>           | FS 15   | <del>FS 14</del> | each daily harvest (after harvest and/or before next harvest)  |  |  |  |
| <del>78 &amp; 79</del>                              | 918 & 949                | FS 16   | FS-15            | Is there an SOP for non-food-contact surfaces of harvest equipment and tools?  |  |  |  |
| 70470   | 010 0 010                | 1010    | 10.10            | Does the SOP address the following:  |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 949-958                  | FS 16a  | ES 1             | 554 Equipment-specific cleaning instructions   |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 949-958                  | FS 16b  |                  | 5b Method and frequency of cleaning  |  |  |  |
| 81  | <del>1030</del>          | FS 16c  |                  | 56 Chemical usage and record keeping (e.g. soap, detergent, etc.)  |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 949                      | FS 16d  |                  | 54 Cleaning verification   |  |  |  |
| 78  | 920                      | FS 16e  |                  | 5e Daily inspection of non-food contact surfaces and equipment   |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 939                      | FS 17   | FS-16            | Do records indicate that non- food contact surfaces on harvest equipment are cleaned according to SOP?                             |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 933                      | FS 18   | FS-17            | Is there an SOP for sanitary operation of harvest equipment?   |  |  |  |
|   |                          | 1       |                  | Does the SOP address the following:  |  |  |  |
| <del>79</del>                                       | 934                      | FS 18a  | ES 1             | 7a Spills and leaks on harvest equipment   |  |  |  |
| 10  | U <del>U 1</del>         | 10 100  | 1 101            | - A Spino and round on narroot oquipmont   |  |  |  |

|               |                 |               |        | Fiel              | d Sanitation Harvest Equipment, Packing Materials and Buildings   |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|---|
| <del>79</del> | <del>935</del>  | $\overline{}$ | FS 18b | FS 17b            | Inoperative water sprays  |
| <del>79</del> | <del>936</del>  |               | FS 18c | FS 17c            | Exclusion of foreign objects (glass, plastic, metal and other debris)?  |
| 84            | <del>1142</del> |               | FS 18d | FS 17d            | Equipment, water tanks and tool storage when not in use   |
|               |                 |               | FS 18e | FS 17e            | Maintain logs documenting cleaning and sanitation Documention logs for equipment cleaning and sanitation  |
| <del>79</del> | <del>928</del>  | FS 19         |        | FS 18             | Is there an SOP for water tanks and equipment used for hydration?   |
| 80            | <del>967</del>  | FS 20         |        | FS 19             | Is there an SOP/SSOP for handling and storage of harvest containers and packaging materials containers?   |
|               |                 |               |        |                   | Does the SOP address the following:   |
| <del>80</del> | <del>969</del>  |               | FS 20a | <del>FS 19a</del> | Daily inspection of containers  |
| <del>80</del> | <del>972</del>  |               | FS 20b |                   | Overnight storage   |
| <del>80</del> | <del>973</del>  |               | FS 20c | <del>FS 19c</del> | Prohibit contact with the ground or soil  |
| 80            | <del>974</del>  |               | FS 20d | <del>FS 19d</del> | Container assembly (RPC, fiber bin, plastic bin, etc.)  |
| <del>80</del> | <del>975</del>  |               | FS 20e | <del>FS 19e</del> | Damaged containers  |
| 80            | <del>976</del>  |               | FS 20f | FS 19f            | Use of containers only as intended  |
| <del>79</del> | 949             |               | FS 20g | FS 19g            | Method and frequency of routine cleaning and sanitation   |
| 81            | <del>1030</del> |               | FS 20h | FS 19h            | Chemical usage and record keeping (e.g. soap, detergent, sanitizer etc.)  |
| 80            | <del>970</del>  |               | FS 20i |                   | Proper cleaning and sanitation for changes in conditions (e.g. weather, pest activity, contact with non-covered PSR produce, etc.)  |
| <del>79</del> | <del>961</del>  | FS 21         |        |                   | Are packing materials or containers cleanable or designed for single use?   |
| <del>76</del> | <del>955</del>  | FS 22         |        |                   | Are reusable packing materials or containers cleaned and sanitized or fitted with a clean liner?  |
| 81            | <del>1030</del> | FS 23         |        |                   | Is there an SOP for chemical storage and chemical content labeling  |
| <del>79</del> | 944             | FS 24         |        |                   | Are instruments or controls used to measure, regulate, or record temperature, hydrogen ion concentration, pH, sanitizer concentration or other conditions:                |
| <del>79</del> | <del>946</del>  |               | FS 24a | <del>FS 23a</del> | Accurate and precise as necessary and appropriate for their intended use?   |
| <del>79</del> | <del>947</del>  |               | FS 24b | FS 23b            | Adequately maintained?  |
| <del>79</del> | 948             |               | FS 24c | <del>FS 23c</del> | Adequate in number for their intended use?  |
| <del>80</del> | <del>977</del>  | FS 25         |        |                   | Are there any buildings used to store packing material?   |
| 80            | <del>985</del>  |               | FS 25a | <del>FS 24a</del> | Does the building have proper drainage and protection from condensate or drips to keep food-contact surfaces from getting wet?  |
| 80            | <del>982</del>  |               | FS 25b |                   | Are packaging materials and other food-contact surfaces kept separate from contamination sources by partition, time, location, enclosed system, or other effective means? |
|               |                 |               |        |                   | Transportation  |
| 93            | <del>1341</del> |               |        | TR 01             | Is there an inspection program for equipment and shipping containers used to transport leafy greens from the farm and on the farm?  |
| 93            | <del>1341</del> |               |        |                   | Are shipping units and equipment used to transport leafy greens on the farm or from the farm to a cooling, packing, or processing facility part of an inspection program? |
| 93            | 1344            |               |        | TR 01b            | Is the condition of shipping units and equipment checked for cleanliness before being used to ship leafy greens?  |

|                       |                      | Field Observations   |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Water Use             |                      |  |
|                       | FO WU 01             | Are all active and/or inactive water sources and distribution system recorded in the agricultural water assessment?  |
|                       | FO WU 02             | From visual inspection, there is no evidence that the water sources and distribution systems may pose a contamination risk (damage, inadequately maintained, evidence of animal activity, environmental sources of contamination, connection with effluent systems)?   |
|                       | FO WU 03             | No other observations of improper use of water   |
| Soil Amendments       |                      |  |
|                       | FO SA 01             | No evidence of undocumented use of soil amendments and/or crop inputs?   |
|                       | FO SA 02             | No evidence of improperly applied soil amendments and/or crop inputs?  |
|                       | FO SA 03             | No evidence of improperly stored soil amendments and/or crop inputs?   |
|                       | FO SA 04             | No other observations of improper use of soil amendments and/or crop inputs?   |
| Environmental Factors |                      |  |
|                       | FO EA 01             | No evidence of fecal contamination in the production area?   |
|                       | FO EA 02             | No evidence of animal intrusion or potential risk of intrusion in the production area?   |
|                       | FO EA 03             | No evidence of non-compliance with distances as outlined in the Environmental Assessment?  |
|                       | FO EA 04             | No evidence that remedial actions have not been implemented?   |
|                       |                      | No other observations of environmental risk factors.   |
| Field One (feet) and  | FO EA 05             | No other observations of environmental risk factors.   |
| Field Sanitation      | JEO 50 04            | And the second state of the second se |
|                       | FO FS 01<br>FO FS 02 | Are there visitor policies/procedures in place?  |
|                       | FO FS 02             | No evidence of excessive non-vegetative debris in the field?  Are chemical containers labeled as to its contents?  |
|                       | FO FS 04             | Are chemicals stored per SOP?  |
|                       | FO FS 04             | No evidence of leaks and spills on equipment in the field?   |
|                       | FO FS 06             | No evidence of equipment is not maintained and operational?  |
|                       | FO FS 07             | No evidence of the use of farm equipment that may have come in contact with potential contaminants (e.g. uncovered products as outlined in the PSR, raw manure, partially treated compost, waters of unknown quality, wildlife or domestic animals)?   |
|                       | FO FS 08             | No evidence of potential cross-contamination of product? (i.e. cut surface of product and contact with the ground/soil)  |
|                       | FO FS 09             | No evidence of other potential cross-contamination of food contact surfaces on harvest equipment or tools  |
|                       | FO FS 10             | No evidence of potential cross-contamination of containers and packing materials   |
|                       | FO FS 11             | No other evidence of improper field sanitation.  No employees earning, uninking (except water), chewing tobacco or smoking in crop production actively harvested areas or outside or designated area sufficiently the COD?   |
|                       | FO FS 12             | Indesignated area sutlined in the SOR?   |
|                       | FO FS 13             | No evidence that sanitary facilities are not routinely clean and operational?  |
|                       | FO FS 14             | No evidence that sanitary facilities are not adequately stocked with disposable supplies?  |
|                       | FO FS 15             | All employees observed to have washed their hands after; restroom usage, work breaks or any returning to work occasion?  |
|                       | FO FS 16             | No evidence that worker hygiene rules have been violated?  |
|                       | FO FS 17             | No improperly stored personal items observed in the field?   |
|                       | FO FS 18             | No evidence that workers practices for further processing have been violated?  |
|                       | FO FS 19             | No employees with uncovered wounds, boils or cuts?   |
|                       | FO FS 20             | No employees with symptoms of infection or contagious disease?   |
|                       | FO FS 21             | No other observations of improper work practices.  |